arXiv:1607.07728v1 [math.RT] 26 Jul 2016

SMOOTH TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

TIMOTHÉE MARQUIS* AND KARL-HERMANN NEEB†

Abstract. In this paper we study the Lie theoretic properties of a class of topological groups which carry a Banach manifold structure but whose multiplication is not smooth. If G and N are Banach–Lie groups and $\pi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ is a homomorphism defining a continuous action of G on N, then $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ is a Banach manifold with a topological group structure for which the left multiplication maps are smooth, but the right multiplication maps need not to be. We show that these groups share surprisingly many properties with Banach–Lie groups: (a) for every regulated function $\xi : [0,1] \to T_1H$ the initial value problem $\dot\gamma(t) = \gamma(t)\xi(t)$, $\gamma(0) = 1_H$, has a solution and the corresponding evolution map from curves in T_1H to curves in H is continuous; (b) every C^1-curve γ with $\gamma(0) = 1$ and $\gamma'(0) = x$ satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(t/n)^n = \exp(tx)$; (c) the Trotter formula holds for C^1 one-parameter groups in H; (d) the subgroup N^∞ of elements with smooth G-orbit maps in N carries a natural Fréchet–Lie group structure for which the G-action is smooth; (e) the resulting Fréchet–Lie group $H^\infty := N^\infty \rtimes G$ is also regular in the sense of (a).

1. Introduction

The theory of infinite dimensional Lie groups can be developed very naturally in the context of Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces, so called *locally convex Lie groups*. For more details on this theory, we recommend the survey article [Nee06] or the forthcoming monograph [GN]. The theory of locally convex Lie groups has, however, certain drawbacks, the most serious one being that the Inverse and Implicit Function Theorem fail beyond the class of Banach manifolds. In some situations one can still use the Nash–Moser Theorem, but this theorem is difficult to apply because its assumptions are often hard to verify.

It is for this reason that, early on in infinite dimensional Lie theory, people have tried to "approximate" Fréchet–Lie groups by certain Banach manifolds to work in a context where the analytic tools, such as existence of solutions of ODEs and inverse function results, can be applied, and then perform a passage to the Fréchet limit, which often is a projective limit of topological groups. The most prominent situation where this strategy has been applied with great success is the analysis of diffeomorphism groups of compact smooth manifolds M. The group $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of smooth diffeomorphisms carries the structure of a Fréchet–Lie group, but the usual construction of charts also applies to the groups $\mathrm{Diff}^k(M)$ of C^k-diffeomorphisms for any $k \geq 1$. This provides the structure of a C^k-manifold structure on each of the topological groups $\mathrm{Diff}^k(M)$, but neither multiplication nor inversion are smooth. Only the right multiplications are smooth maps. This kind of "weak Lie group structure" is usually dealt with in the context of *ILB (inverse limit of Banach) Lie groups*, which play an important role in geometric analysis (cf. [AK98], [EM99]). The Lie theory of these groups has been developed by H. Omori and his collaborators in a series of papers culminating in [MOKY85] (see also Omori's monograph [Omo97]).

Another context where Lie theory leaves its natural "smooth context" is in the theory of group representations. There one studies representations $\pi : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of a Lie group G on a Fréchet space, for which the action of G on V is continuous, but not in general smooth. This seemingly weak requirement is dictated by the applications where smoothness of the action of G on V would be much too strong. This phenomenon is well-known from the theory of one-parameter semigroups

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E65, 58B25.

Key words and phrases. Topological group, Lie group, regular Lie group.

*Supported by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship.

†Supported by DFG-grant NE 413/9-1, "Invariante Konvexität in unendlich-dimensionalen Lie-Algebren".

on Banach spaces, where norm continuity is much too strong and strong continuity is the natural regularity assumption. Applying Lie theoretic methods to continuous representations can be a difficult task, but recently some quite effective tools to overcome these difficulties have been developed (see in particular [NS13]). To apply these tools, one has to assume that the addition in the Lie algebra $\mathrm{L}(G)$ of the Lie group G under consideration is compatible with the topological group structure in the sense that G has the *Trotter property*, i.e., for every $x_1, x_2 \in \mathrm{L}(G)$,

$$\exp_G(t(x_1 + x_2)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\exp_G \left(\frac{t}{n} x_1\right) \exp_G \left(\frac{t}{n} x_2\right)\right)^n$$

holds uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. All locally exponential Lie groups (i.e., groups for which the exponential function is a local diffeomorphism in 0) have this property, and this includes in particular all Banach–Lie groups ([Nee06]). Beyond the Banach context, the Trotter property is often hard to verify, but in [NS13] this is done for diffeomorphism groups of compact manifolds and the Virasoro group. Much of this has recently been facilitated by H. Glöckner's new regularity results [Glö15] which provide also solutions to differential equations of the form $\dot\gamma(t) = \gamma(t)\xi(t)$, where ξ is not necessarily continuous. So ξ could also be a Riemannian step function, or a uniform limit of step functions, i.e., a regulated function.

In the present paper we pursue a more detailed analysis of a class of Banach manifolds which carry a topological group structure but which are not Lie groups, namely semidirect products $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$, where both N and G are Banach–Lie groups, but the homomorphism $\pi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ only defines a continuous action $\pi^\wedge\colon G \times N \to N, (g, n) \mapsto \pi(g)n$. Then H is a topological group and a smooth Banach manifold. The multiplication on H, however, is in general *not* smooth as the right multiplication maps

$$\rho_{n_2,g_2}\colon H \to H,\ (n_1, g_1) \mapsto (n_1, g_1)(n_2, g_2) = (n_1 \cdot \pi(g_1)n_2, g_1g_2)$$

are, in general, only continuous. On the other hand, the left multiplication maps are smooth. We call such a topological group, with a Banach manifold structure and smooth left multiplication maps, a *smooth topological group*. The semidirect products $H = N \rtimes_\pi G$ as above constitute an important class of examples of smooth topological groups because they are still rather well-behaved but they also display many of the pathologies of smooth topological groups that are not Lie groups. Here already the case $G = \mathbb{R}$ is very interesting. Another interesting class of examples arises for the group $N = C^k(M, K)$, M a compact smooth manifold, K a Banach–Lie group and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where the action of G on N comes from a smooth action of G on M. The aim of this paper is to understand to which extent the Lie theoretic properties of Banach–Lie groups survive in the framework of these smooth topological groups.

We now describe our main results in more detail. The first problem to investigate is the existence of an *exponential function* $\exp_H\colon \mathfrak{h} \to H$ on the tangent space $\mathfrak{h} := T_1H = T_1N \times T_1G$ of H at the identity, that is, of a map $\exp_H\colon \mathfrak{h} \to H$ such that for each $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, the curve $\gamma_x\colon I = [0, 1] \to H$ defined by $\gamma_x(t) := \exp_H(tx)$ is a C^1 solution to the initial value problem (IVP)

$$\gamma_x'(t) = \gamma_x(t).x, \quad \gamma_x(0) = 1_H,$$

where we denoted for each $h \in H$ by $\mathfrak{h} \to T_h(H), x \mapsto h.x := T_1(\lambda_h)x$ the action of the tangent map of $\lambda_h\colon H \to H, g \mapsto hg$ at the identity on $\mathfrak{h}$. More generally, for each continuous curve $\gamma \in C^0(I, \mathfrak{h})$, one may ask whether there exists a solution $\eta \in C^1(I, H)$ to the IVP

$$\eta'(t) = \eta(t).\gamma(t), \quad \eta(0) = 1_H. \tag{1.1}$$

If a solution to (1.1) exists, then it is unique (see [Nee06, §II.3]), yielding an *evolution map*

$$\mathrm{Evol}_H\colon C^0(I, \mathfrak{h}) \to C^1(I, H),\ \gamma \mapsto \eta.$$

If, moreover, Evol_H is continuous, then the group H is called C^0*-regular*. For instance, Banach–Lie groups are C^0-regular (see [Glö15, Theorem C]).

In [Glö15], H. Glöckner further defined a concept of R*-regularity* (which implies C^0-regularity), by replacing the space $C^0(I, \mathfrak{h})$ in the above definition with the space $R(I, \mathfrak{h})$ of *regulated functions*, that

is, of functions in $\mathrm{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{h})$ that are uniform limits of step functions (see §2.10 below for more details and the precise meaning of the IVP (1.1) in this context). H. Glöckner then proves that Banach–Lie groups are R-regular, and derives various important consequences.

Our first result implies in particular that the smooth topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ possesses a (continuous) exponential map $\exp_H\colon \mathfrak{h} \to H$ (see §4.1):

Theorem A. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ define a continuous action. Then the smooth topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ is R-regular.*

A second natural problem is to understand whether $\mathfrak{h}$ admits a Lie algebra structure, and whether such a structure can be, as in the classical case, reconstructed from the space $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of C^1 one-parameter subgroups of H. We recall that for any Banach–Lie group Γ with Lie algebra $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ and exponential function $\exp_\Gamma\colon \mathrm{L}(\Gamma) \to \Gamma$, the Lie algebra structure on $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ can be obtained by using the identification $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{L}(\Gamma), \gamma \mapsto \gamma'(0)$, and the fact that Γ has the *Trotter property*, i.e., for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\gamma_1(\tfrac{t}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\right)^n = \exp_\Gamma\left(t(\gamma_1'(0)+\gamma_2'(0))\right)$$

holds uniformly for t in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, as well as the *commutator property*, i.e., for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\gamma_1(\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_1(-\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_2(-\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\right)^{n^2} = \exp_\Gamma\left(t[\gamma_1'(0),\gamma_2'(0)]\right)$$

holds uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,\infty[$. Actually Γ has the *strong Trotter property* (which implies both the Trotter and commutator properties within the class of locally convex Lie groups, see [Glö15, Theorem H]), that is, for each C^1-curve $\gamma\colon I \to \Gamma$ with $\gamma(0) = 1_\Gamma$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(\tfrac{t}{n})^n = \exp_\Gamma(t\gamma'(0))$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,\infty[$.

It turns out that the space $\mathfrak{h}$ carries, in general, no natural Lie bracket, so that one cannot speak of the "Lie algebra of H" (see §4.2). On the other hand, we show, as in [Glö15, Theorem I], that the R-regularity of H implies that H has the strong Trotter property.

Theorem B. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ define a continuous action. Then the smooth topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ has the strong Trotter property.*

In our setting, the strong Trotter property does not immediately imply the Trotter property, as for two C^1-curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_2\colon \mathbb{R} \to H$, the curve $\gamma(t) := \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)$ need not be C^1. Nevertheless, with some extra work, we can show that H has the Trotter property as well.

Theorem C. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ define a continuous action. Then the smooth topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ has the Trotter property.*

We actually prove a stronger result, generalising both Theorems B and C (see Theorem 4.14 below for a precise statement). As a surprising side result, we further show in §4.3 that, if N is abelian, then any continuous one-parameter subgroup of H is conjugate to a smooth one-parameter subgroup, hence of the form $t \mapsto g\exp_H(tx)g^{-1}$ for some $g \in H$, $x \in \mathfrak{h}$.

In representation theory, an important technique consists in the passage from a continuous representation on a Banach space to the subspace of smooth vectors, i.e., the elements with smooth orbit maps, on which the Lie algebra acts naturally. In this context, a third problem is to ask whether the subgroup

$$N^\infty := \{n \in N \mid G \to N,\ g \mapsto \pi(g)n \text{ is smooth}\}$$

of *smooth elements* of N for the action π carries a natural Lie group structure. Building on results from [Nee10], where the above question is shown to have a positive answer when N is a Banach space, we prove that N^∞ has a Fréchet–Lie group structure for which the induced action $\pi_\infty\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N^\infty), g \mapsto \pi(g)|_{N^\infty}$ is smooth. This implies in particular the following (see §5.1).

Theorem D. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups and $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ define a continuous action. Then N^∞ carries a natural Fréchet–Lie group structure for which the action π_∞ is smooth. In particular, the semidirect product $H^\infty := N^\infty \rtimes_{\pi_\infty} G$ is a Fréchet–Lie group.*

Finally, we investigate in §5.2 the R-regularity of the Lie group H^∞.

Theorem E. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ define a continuous action. Then $H^\infty := N^\infty \rtimes_{\pi_\infty} G$ is R-regular with a smooth evolution map. In particular, H^∞ has the strong Trotter and commutator properties.*

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Helge Glöckner for enlightening discussions on the topic of measurable regularity properties.

2. Preliminaries

Notation. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ denotes the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the set of nonnegative integers.

We first recall the basic concepts pertaining to infinite-dimensional Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces, and their measurable regularity properties. The main references for this section are [Glö15] and [Nee06].

2.1. **Lebesgue spaces (**[Glö15, 1.7–1.13, 1.25, 1.31]**).** Let $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for some $a < b$, which we view as a measure space for the (restriction of) the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Let E be a real Fréchet space, which we view as a measurable space with respect to its σ-algebra of Borel sets. We write $P(E)$ for the set of all continuous seminorms $q\colon E \to [0, \infty[$.

We let $\mathcal{L}^1(I, E)$ denote the space of all measurable functions $\gamma\colon I \to E$ with separable image (i.e. $\gamma(I)$ has a dense countable subset) such that

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^1,q} := \int_I q(\gamma(s))ds < \infty \quad \text{for all } q \in P(E).$$

Similarly, we let $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I, E)$ denote the space of all measurable functions $\gamma\colon I \to E$ with separable and bounded image, so that

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty,q} := \|q \circ \gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}(q \circ \gamma) < \infty \quad \text{for all } q \in P(E).$$

For $p \in \{1, \infty\}$, we equip $\mathcal{L}^p(I, E)$ with the (non-Hausdorff) locally convex vector topology defined by the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^p,q}$ for $q \in P(E)$.

Let $\mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E)$ be the space of all measurable maps $\gamma\colon I \to E$ with relatively compact image. We endow $\mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\infty(I, E)$ with the topology induced by $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I, E)$. Finally, let $\mathcal{R}(I, E)$ be the space of functions $\gamma\colon I \to E$ that are the uniform limit of a sequence of step functions. We recall that $\gamma\colon I \to E$ is a *step function* if there exists a partition $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ of I such that $\gamma|_{]t_{j-1}, t_j[}$ is constant for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A function $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}(I, E)$ is called *regulated*, and we endow $\mathcal{R}(I, E) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E)$ with the topology induced by $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I, E)$.

Given a measurable map $\gamma\colon I \to E$, we write $[\gamma]$ for the equivalence class of measurable maps $\gamma_1\colon I \to E$ such that $\gamma(s) = \gamma_1(s)$ for almost all $s \in I$. (When no confusion is possible, we will also simply write γ for its equivalence class $[\gamma]$.) We then define $\mathrm{L}^1(I, E)$ (resp. $\mathrm{L}^\infty(I, E)$, $\mathrm{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E)$, $\mathrm{R}(I, E)$) as the space of equivalence classes $[\gamma]$ with γ in $\mathcal{L}^1(I, E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I, E)$, $\mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E)$, $\mathcal{R}(I, E)$). For $p \in \{1, \infty\}$, we equip $\mathrm{L}^p(I, E)$ with the locally convex vector topology defined by the seminorms $\|[\gamma]\|_{\mathrm{L}^p,q} := \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^p,q}$ for $q \in P(E)$, and we give $\mathrm{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E)$ and $R(I, E)$ the induced topology, coming from the inclusions $\mathrm{R}(I, E) \subseteq \mathrm{L}^\infty_{rc}(I, E) \subseteq \mathrm{L}^\infty(I, E)$. Note that $R(I, E)$ admits a basis of open 0-neighbourhoods consisting of the sets

$$R(I, V) := \{\alpha \in R(I, E) \mid \alpha(I) \subseteq V\},$$

where V runs through a basis of open 0-neighbourhoods in E.

Finally, note that the map $C(I, E) \to \mathrm{L}^\infty(I, E), \gamma \mapsto [\gamma]$ is injective; we will equip the space $C(I, E)$ of continuous functions with the induced topology, given in this case by the seminorms $\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty,q} = \sup_{t \in I} q(\gamma(t))$ for $q \in P(E)$.

2.2. **Integration (**[Glö15, 1.16–1.28]**).** Let E be a real locally convex space, E' be its topological dual (that is, the space of all continuous linear functionals $E \to \mathbb{R}$), and let $\gamma\colon I = [a,b] \to E$ be a function such that $\lambda \circ \gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ for each $\lambda \in E'$. We define the *weak integral* of γ, if it exists, as the unique element $w \in E$ such that

$$\lambda(w) = \int_I \lambda(\gamma(t))dt \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in E',$$

and we write $\int_a^b \gamma(t)dt = \int_I \gamma(t)dt := w$.

As usual, a map $\eta\colon I \to E$ is called *differentiable at* $t \in I$ if the limit $\eta'(t) := \lim_{s\to t} \frac{\eta(s)-\eta(t)}{s-t}$ exists in E. We have the following version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus:

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a Fréchet space, $I = [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(I,E)$. Then the weak integrals needed to define*

$$\eta\colon I \to E,\ s \mapsto \int_a^s \gamma(t)dt$$

exist, and η is a continuous function which is differentiable almost everywhere, with $\eta' = [\gamma]$.

2.3. **Differentiation (**[Glö15, 1.49–1.52]**).** Let E and F be real locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ be an open set and $f\colon U \to F$ be a map. The *derivative of f at $x \in U$ in the direction $y \in E$* is defined as the limit

$$df(x,y) := (D_y f)(x) := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(x+ty) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}(f(x+ty) - f(x)),$$

whenever it exists. We say that f is C^0 if it is continuous. We say that f is C^1 if f is continuous, the derivatives $df(x,y)$ exist in F for all $(x,y) \in U \times E$, and $df\colon U \times E \to F$ is continuous. Recursively, we say, for some integer $k \geq 1$, that f is C^k if f is C^1 and $df\colon U \times E \to F$ is C^{k-1}. Equivalently, f is C^k if and only if it is continuous and, for all positive integers $j \leq k$, the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^j f(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j) := (D_{y_j} \ldots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $x \in U$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_j \in E$, and the map $d^j f\colon U \times E^j \to F$ is continuous. We call f *smooth* or C^∞ if it is C^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We record for future reference the following results.

Lemma 2.2 ([Glö15, 2.1])**.** *Let E_1, E_2, F be Fréchet spaces, and let $f\colon E_1 \times E_2 \to F$ be a continuous map such that $f(x,\cdot)\colon E_2 \to F$ is linear for all $x \in E_1$. Let $\eta\colon I = [0,1] \to E_1$ be a continuous function and $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}(I, E_2)$. Then $f \circ (\eta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}(I, F)$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let E_1, E_2, F be Fréchet spaces and let $V \subseteq E_1$ be open. Let $f\colon V \times E_2 \to F$ be a smooth map such that $f(v,\cdot)\colon E_2 \to F$ is linear for all $v \in V$. Then*

$$\widetilde{f}\colon V \times R(I, E_2) \to R(I, F),\ (x, \gamma) \mapsto f(x, \gamma(\cdot))$$

is smooth.

Proof. This follows from [Glö15, 2.2], since the natural injection $V \hookrightarrow C(I, V)$ is smooth. □

2.4. **Manifolds (**[Glö15, 1.53]**,** [Nee06, Chapter I]**).** Since composition of smooth maps are smooth, one can define a *smooth manifold M modelled on a real locally convex space E* (or just *E-manifold*) by replacing the modelling space $\mathbb{R}^n$ by E in the classical definitions of manifolds (see [Nee06]). If E is a Banach (resp. Fréchet) space, then M is called a *Banach* (resp. *Fréchet*) *manifold*.

As usual, $TM = \dot{\bigcup}_{x\in M} T_x M$ then denotes the tangent bundle of M and $T_x M \cong E$ the tangent space of M at $x \in M$. Likewise, for a smooth map $f\colon M \to N$ between smooth manifolds, $Tf\colon TM \to TN$ (resp. $T_x f\colon T_x M \to T_{f(x)} N$) is the corresponding tangent map (resp. tangent map at $x \in M$). If U is an open subset of a locally convex space E, we identify TU with $U \times E$. For a smooth map $f\colon M \to E$ from a smooth manifold M to a locally convex space E, we then write $df\colon TM \to E$ for the second component of $Tf\colon TM \to E \times E$. Note that §2.3 also yields a notion of *C^k-maps* between smooth manifolds for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

2.5. **Lie groups (**[Nee06, Chapters II–IV]**).** A *(locally convex) Lie group* G is a group with a smooth manifold structure modelled on a locally convex space, for which the group operations (multiplication and inversion) are smooth. We write 1_G (or simply 1 if no confusion is possible) for the identity element of G, and $\lambda_g\colon G \to G, x \mapsto gx$ and $\rho_g\colon G \to G, x \mapsto xg$ for the left and right multiplication maps. For $g \in G$, we also write $\mathrm{Int}(g)\colon G \to G, x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ for the conjugation map.

For each $x \in T_1G$, there is a unique left invariant vector field $x_l\colon G \to TG$ with $x_l(1) = x$, defined by $x_l(g) := T_1(\lambda_g)x$. The Lie bracket on the space of left invariant vector fields then induces a continuous Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g} := T_1(G)$, characterised by $[x, y]_l = [x_l, y_l]$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We let L denote the functor from the category of locally convex Lie groups to the category of locally convex topological Lie algebras, which associates to a group G its Lie algebra $\mathrm{L}(G) := (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and to a Lie group morphism $\varphi\colon G_1 \to G_2$ the corresponding tangent map at the identity $\mathrm{L}(\varphi) = T_1(\varphi)\colon \mathrm{L}(G_1) \to \mathrm{L}(G_2)$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Banach (resp. Fréchet) space, then G is called a *Banach* (resp. *Fréchet*) *Lie group*.

The left multiplication and conjugation maps on G induce smooth maps

$$G \times \mathfrak{g} \to TG,\ (g, x) \mapsto g.x := T_1(\lambda_g)x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ad}\colon G \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},\ (g, x) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(g)x := \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{Int}(g))x.$$

Note that the adjoint action Ad of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ is by topological isomorphisms.

A map $\exp_G\colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is called an *exponential function* if for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the curve $\gamma_x(t) := \exp_G(tx)$ is a C^1 one-parameter subgroup with $\gamma_x'(0) := T_0(\gamma_x)(1) = x$. If G has an exponential function, then it is unique.

Assume now that G is a Banach Lie group. Then G has a smooth exponential function $\exp_G\colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$, and $\exp_G$ maps some open (convex) 0-neighbourhood V_G in $\mathfrak{g}$ diffeomorphically onto some open subset U_G of G. If $W_G \subseteq V_G$ is an open (convex) 0-neighbourhood in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\{\exp_G(x)\exp_G(y) \mid x, y \in W_G\} \subseteq V_G,$$

then one can define on W_G the *local multiplication*

$$*\colon W_G \times W_G \to \mathfrak{g},\ (x, y) \mapsto x * y := \exp_G^{-1}(\exp_G(x)\exp_G(y)),$$

which is a smooth map satisfying $tx * sx = (t + s)x$ for all $x \in W_G$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s|, |t|, |s + t| \leq 1$ ([Nee06, Example IV.2.4]).

Given some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $k \geq 1$, some locally convex spaces E, F, some open subset $U \subseteq E$ and some C^k-map $f\colon U_G \times U \to F$, we will use the exponential chart $(U_G, \exp_G^{-1})$ of G to define the *k-th derivative* $d_1^k f\colon U_G \times \mathfrak{g}^k \times U \to F$ *of f in the first coordinate* by setting

$$d_1^1 f(g, x, v) := d_1 f(g, x, v) := d(f^v)(g, x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(g\exp_G(tx), v) \quad \text{for all } g \in U_G,\ x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in U$$

where $f^v\colon U_G \to F, g \mapsto f(g, v)$ and, recursively, $d_1^{s+1} f = d_1(d_1^s f)$ for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$. In other words, for all $g \in U_G$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in U$,

$$d_1^k f(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k, v) = \frac{d}{dt_1}\Big|_{t_1=0} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_k}\Big|_{t_k=0} f(g\exp_G(t_1x_1)\ldots\exp_G(t_kx_k), v). \tag{2.1}$$

Note that $d_1^s f$ is a C^{k-s}-map for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. For a C^k-map $h\colon U_G \to F$, we also define $d^k h := d_1^k h\colon U_G \times \mathfrak{g}^k \to F$ as above by viewing h as a map $h\colon U_G \times \{0\} \to F$.

2.6. **Smooth topological groups.** In this paper, we will consider the following generalisation of a Lie group (see also Section 3 below). Let E be a locally convex space, and let G be a smooth manifold modelled on E. We call G a *(left) smooth topological group modelled on E* if G admits a topological group structure (with respect to the manifold topology) such that all left multiplication maps $\lambda_g\colon G \to G$ are smooth.

2.7. **Local Lie groups (**[Nee06, II.1.10]**).** Given a group G with multiplication $m\colon G \times G \to G$ and identity 1_G, a quadruple $(U, D_U, m, 1_G)$ consisting of a symmetric subset $U = U^{-1} \subseteq G$ with $1_G \in U$ and of a subset $D_U \subseteq U \times U$ with $((U \times \{1_G\}) \cup (\{1_G\} \times U) \subseteq D_U$ and $m(D_U) \subseteq U$ is a so-called *local group*. If $(U, D_U, m, 1_G)$ is such a local group and, in addition, U has a smooth manifold

structure, D_U is open, and the local multiplication and inversion maps $D_U \to U, (x,y) \mapsto m(x,y)$ and $U \to U, x \mapsto x^{-1}$ are smooth, then $(U, D_U, m, 1_G)$ (or simply U) is called a *local Lie group*.

2.8. **Absolutely continuous maps (**[Glö15, 3.6–3.20, 4.2]**).** Let $I = [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for some $a < b$ and let E be a Fréchet space. Define $AC_R(I,E) \subseteq C(I,E)$ as the space of continuous functions $\eta\colon I \to E$ for which there exists $[\gamma] \in R(I,E)$ such that

$$\eta(s) = \eta(a) + \int_a^s \gamma(t)dt \quad \text{for all } s \in I.$$

Then $[\gamma] = \eta'$ is unique by Lemma 2.1, and the map

$$AC_R(I,E) \to E \times R(I,E),\ \eta \mapsto (\eta(a), \eta')$$

is an isomorphism, which we use to define on $AC_R(I,E)$ a locally convex vector topology. The inclusion map $AC_R(I,E) \hookrightarrow C(I,E)$ is then continuous, and for any open subset $V \subseteq E$, the set

$$AC_R(I,V) := \{\eta \in AC_R(I,E) \mid \eta(I) \subseteq V\}$$

is open in $AC_R(I,E)$.

More generally, given a smooth manifold M modelled on E, one can define $AC_R(I,M)$ as the set of all continuous functions $\eta\colon I \to M$ for which there is a partition $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ of I such that, for each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, there exists a chart $\varphi_j\colon U_j \to V_j \subseteq E$ of M with $\eta([t_{j-1},t_j]) \subseteq U_j$ and $\varphi_j \circ \gamma|_{[t_{j-1},t_j]} \in AC_R([t_{j-1},t_j],E)$.

If G is a Fréchet–Lie group, then $AC_R(I,G)$ is a group under pointwise multiplication, and there is a unique Lie group structure on $AC_R(I,G)$ such that

$$AC_R(I,U) := \{\eta \in AC_R(I,G) \mid \eta(I) \subseteq U\}$$

is open in $AC_R(I,G)$ and

$$AC_R(I,\varphi)\colon\ AC_R(I,U) \to AC_R(I,V),\ \eta \mapsto \varphi \circ \eta$$

is a diffeomorphism for each chart $\varphi\colon U \to V$ of G such that $1_G \in U$ and $U = U^{-1}$.

2.9. **Logarithmic derivative (**[Glö15, 5.1–5.11]**).** Let E be a Fréchet space. Let G be either a smooth topological group or a local Lie group modelled on E. Write $\mathfrak{g} := T_1(G)$. Let $I = [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for some $a < b$ and let $\eta \in AC_R(I,G)$. We define the *(left) logarithmic derivative* $\delta(\eta) \in R(I,\mathfrak{g})$ of η as follows.

Let $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ be a partition of I such that, for each $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, there exists a chart $\varphi_j\colon U_j \to V_j \subseteq E$ of G with $\eta([t_{j-1},t_j]) \subseteq U_j$. Then

$$\eta_j := \varphi_j \circ \eta|_{[t_{j-1},t_j]} \in AC_R([t_{j-1},t_j],E)$$

for all $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, and one can thus consider $\eta_j' \in R(I,E)$, which we write as $\eta_j' = [\gamma_j]$ for some $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{R}(I,E)$. Define

$$\gamma\colon\ I \to TG$$

via $\gamma(t) := T(\varphi_j)^{-1}(\eta_j(t), \gamma_j(t))$ if $t \in [t_{j-1},t_j[$ with $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and $\gamma(b) := T(\varphi_n)^{-1}(\eta_n(b), \gamma_n(b))$. We then set

$$\delta(\eta) := [\omega_\ell \circ \gamma] \in R(I,\mathfrak{g}),$$

where

$$\omega_\ell\colon\ TG \to \mathfrak{g},\ v \in T_gG \mapsto g^{-1}.v := T_g(\lambda_{g^{-1}})v \in T_1G.$$

Note that if G and H are smooth Fréchet–Lie groups and $f\colon G \to H$ is a smooth homomorphism, then $f \circ \eta \in AC_R(I,H)$ for each $\eta \in AC_R(I,G)$ and

$$\delta(f \circ \eta) = \mathrm{L}(f) \circ \delta(\eta), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathrm{L}(f) \circ \delta(\eta) := [\mathrm{L}(f) \circ \gamma]$ if $\delta(\eta) = [\gamma]$ (see [Glö15, 5.2(b)]).

2.10. **R-regularity ([Glö15, 5.14–5.26]).** Let $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for some $a < b$ and let E be a Fréchet space. Let also $V \subseteq E$ be open, and let $g\colon I \times V \to E$ be a map. A continuous function $\eta\colon I \to E$ is called an *AC_R-Carathéodory solution* to $y' = g(t, y)$ if $\eta(I) \subseteq V$, the map $t \mapsto g(t, \eta(t))$ is in $R(I, E)$, and

$$\eta(t_2) - \eta(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g(s, \eta(s))ds \quad \text{for all } t_1, t_2 \in I.$$

Consider next a smooth manifold M modelled on E, and let $(t_0, y_0) \in I \times M$ and $f\colon I \times M \to TM$ be a map. Then $\eta \in AC_R(I, M)$ is an *AC_R-Carathéodory solution* to the initial value problem (IVP)

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

if $\eta(t_0) = y_0$ and for each $t \in I$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\eta(I \cap]t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon[) \subseteq U$ for some chart $\varphi\colon U \to V \subseteq E$ of M and $\varphi \circ \eta|_{I\cap]t-\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon[}$ is an AC_R-Carathéodory solution to $y' = g(t, y)$ with

$$g\colon \mathbb{R} \times V \to E,\ (t, y) \mapsto d\varphi(f(t, \varphi^{-1}(y))).$$

Assume now that $I = [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If G is a smooth topological group modelled on E, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := T_1G$, then G is called *R-semiregular* if for each $\gamma \in R(I, \mathfrak{g})$, there exists $\eta \in AC_R(I, G)$ such that

$$\delta(\eta) = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(0) = 1_G. \tag{2.3}$$

If it exists, then $\mathrm{Evol}_G(\gamma) := \eta$ is uniquely determined. Note that, writing $\gamma = [\zeta]$ for some $\zeta \in \mathcal{R}(I, \mathfrak{g})$, the map $\eta \in AC_R(I, G)$ satisfies (2.3) if and only if it is an AC_R-Carathéodory solution to the IVP

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad y(0) = 1_G$$

with $f\colon I \times G \to TG, (t, y) \mapsto y.\zeta(t) := T(\lambda_y)\zeta(t)$. We moreover say that G is *R-regular*[1] if it is R-semiregular and the *evolution map*

$$\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon R(I, \mathfrak{g}) \to AC_R(I, G)$$

is continuous. Note that if G is R-semiregular, then it has an exponential function $\exp_G\colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$ given by

$$\exp_G(v) := \mathrm{evol}_G(c_v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_v\colon I \to \mathfrak{g}, t \mapsto v$ is the constant function and

$$\mathrm{evol}_G\colon R(I, \mathfrak{g}) \to G,\ \gamma \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}_G(\gamma)(1).$$

If G is a local Lie group modelled on E, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := T_1G$, we call G *locally R-semiregular* if there exists an open 0-neighbourhood $\Omega \subseteq R(I, \mathfrak{g})$ such that for each $\gamma \in \Omega$, there exists $\eta \in AC_R(I, G)$ such that

$$\delta(\eta) = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(0) = 1_G.$$

If it exists, then $\mathrm{Evol}_G(\gamma) := \eta$ is uniquely determined. If, moreover, G has a global chart, then G is called *locally R-regular* if it is locally R-semiregular and Ω can be chosen such that

$$\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon \Omega \to AC_R(I, G)$$

is continuous.

We record for future reference the following results.

Lemma 2.4 ([Glö15, 5.20]). *Set $I = [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and let G be an R-regular Fréchet–Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the evolution map $\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon R(I, \mathfrak{g}) \to AC_R(I, G)$ is smooth if and only if it is smooth as a map $R(I, \mathfrak{g}) \to C(I, G)$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([Glö15, 5.25]). *Let G be a Fréchet–Lie group. Then*

1. *G is R-semiregular if and only if G is locally R-semiregular;*
2. *G is R-regular with a smooth evolution map if and only if G is locally R-regular with a smooth evolution map.*

[1]Note that our notion of R-regularity is weaker than the one in [Glö15, 5.16]: *R-regular* in *loc. cit.* means *R-regular with a smooth evolution map* in this paper.

Lemma 2.6 ([Glö15, Theorems A and C])**.** *Every Banach–Lie group is R-regular, with a smooth evolution map.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let G, N be Banach Lie groups with respective Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n}$, and let $f\colon G \to N$ be a Lie group morphism. Then for any $\alpha \in R(I, \mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$f \circ \mathrm{Evol}_G(\alpha) = \mathrm{Evol}_N(\mathrm{L}(f) \circ \alpha).$$

Proof. This readily follows from (2.2) in §2.9. □

3. Smooth topological groups

In this section, we introduce in more detail the main protagonists of this paper, namely the smooth topological groups.

3.1. **Definition and examples.**

Definition 3.1. Let E be a locally convex space and G be a smooth manifold modelled on E. We recall from §2.6 that G is called a (left) smooth topological group modelled on E if G admits a topological group structure (with respect to the manifold topology) such that all left multiplication maps $\lambda_g\colon G \to G$ are smooth. In this paper, we will only consider smooth topological groups modelled on Banach spaces, and simply call them *smooth topological groups*.

Remark 3.2. Note that one may replace left multiplication maps by right multiplication maps in Definition 3.1, to obtain a concept of *right smooth topological group*. If G is a right smooth topological group, one can also define the concepts presented in §2.9 and §2.10, using right logarithmic derivatives. Of course, if m denotes the multiplication in G, then the group G^{op} obtained by equipping G with the multiplication $m^{\mathrm{op}}(g, h) := m(h, g)$ instead of m is a (left) smooth topological group.

There are several sources of examples of interest of smooth topological groups, and we now list some of them.

Example 3.3. Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ be a continuous automorphic action of G on N, in the sense that the map

$$G \times N \to N,\ (g, n) \mapsto \pi(g)n$$

is continuous. Consider the topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$, with multiplication

$$(n_1, g_1)(n_2, g_2) = (n_1 \cdot \pi(g_1)n_2, g_1g_2) \quad \forall n_1, n_2 \in N,\ g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

Then all left multiplication maps $\lambda_{n,g}\colon H \to H$ are smooth, whereas the right multiplication maps $\rho_{n,g}\colon H \to H$ are in general only continuous. In particular, H is a smooth topological group.

Example 3.4. *Extended mapping groups* $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ are concrete classes of Example 3.3: here $N = C^k(M, K)$ is the Banach–Lie group of C^k-maps ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) from the compact smooth manifold M to some Banach–Lie group K, and G is a Banach–Lie group acting smoothly on M, which yields a continuous action by $(\pi(g)f)(s) := f(g^{-1}s)$. An important special case arises for $M = \mathbb{S}^1$ on which the circle group $G = \mathbb{T}$ acts by rigid rotations (loop groups).

Example 3.5. A second particular case of Example 3.3 is the following: let G be a Banach–Lie group (e.g. $G = \mathbb{R}$) and consider a continuous representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(X)$ of G on some Banach space X. Then the *affine group* $H = X \rtimes_\pi G$ is a smooth topological group.

Example 3.6. A third particular case of Example 3.3 is the following: let G be a Banach–Lie group and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ be a continous automorphic action of G on a unital Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see [BR87]). Then the induced action of G on the group $\mathcal{A}^\times$ of units of $\mathcal{A}$ yields a smooth topological group $H = \mathcal{A}^\times \rtimes_\pi G$.

Example 3.7. Let M be a compact manifold and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the group $H := \mathrm{Diff}^r(M)$ of C^r-diffeomorphisms of M is a right smooth topological group (see [Omo97, §VI.2]).

Example 3.8. Set $I = [0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and let G be a Fréchet–Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Replacing the space of regulated functions $R(I,\mathfrak{g})$ by the Lebesgue space $L^p(I,\mathfrak{g})$ for $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ (resp. by $C^k(I,\mathfrak{g})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$) in §2.10 yields a concept of L^p- (resp. C^k-) semiregularity for G (see [Glö15, 5.16]). For $\mathcal{E} \in \{R, L^p, C^k\}$, let $\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon \mathcal{E}(I,\mathfrak{g}) \to AC_{\mathcal{E}}(I,G)$ denote the corresponding evolution map.

Assume that G is $\mathcal{E}$-semiregular with continuous evolution map Evol_G. Then

$$\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon \mathcal{E}(I,\mathfrak{g}) \to AC_{\mathcal{E}}(I,G)_* := \{\eta \in AC_{\mathcal{E}}(I,G) \mid \eta(0) = 1_G\}$$

is bijective. Keeping the locally convex space structure on $H := \mathcal{E}(I,\mathfrak{g})$ and transporting on H the (pointwise) group multiplication from $AC_{\mathcal{E}}(I,G)_*$ then turns H into a right smooth topological group (see [Glö15, 5.34, 5.38(e)]).

3.2. **Some notation.** In this paper, we will focus on the study of the class of examples presented in Example 3.3. In the sequel, unless otherwise stated, G and N will denote Banach Lie groups, and $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ a *continuous action* of G on N by automorphisms, in the sense that the action map

$$\pi^\wedge\colon G \times N \to N,\ (g,n) \mapsto \pi^\wedge(g,n) := \pi(g)n$$

is continuous. We set $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{L}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{n} := \mathrm{L}(N)$, and we let $\mathfrak{h} := T_1 H = \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{g}$ denote the tangent space at the identity of the smooth topological group $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$. For each $n \in N$, we denote by

$$\pi^n\colon G \to N,\ g \mapsto \pi(g)n$$

the orbit map for π. We also define the derived action and orbit maps

$$\dot\pi^\wedge\colon G \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n},\ (g,v) \mapsto \mathrm{L}(\pi(g))v \quad \text{and} \quad \dot\pi^v\colon G \to \mathfrak{n},\ g \mapsto \mathrm{L}(\pi(g))v$$

for each $v \in \mathfrak{n}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we let

$$N^k := \{n \in N \mid \pi^n \text{ is a } C^k\text{-map}\}$$

denote the space of C^k-*elements* for the action π, and

$$\mathfrak{n}^k := \{v \in \mathfrak{n} \mid \dot\pi^v \text{ is a } C^k\text{-map}\}$$

the space of C^k-*vectors* for the linear action $\dot\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{n}), g \mapsto \dot\pi^\wedge(g,\cdot)$. Note that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one can define a linear map (cf. [Nee10, Section 4])

$$\mathrm{d}\dot\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{n}^{k+1}, \mathfrak{n}^k),\ \mathrm{d}\dot\pi(x)v := d\dot\pi^v(1_G,x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \dot\pi(\exp_G(tx))v.$$

Finally, we recall from Lemma 2.6 that G and N are R-regular, with smooth evolution maps

$$\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon R(I,\mathfrak{g}) \to AC_R(I,G) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Evol}_N\colon R(I,\mathfrak{n}) \to AC_R(I,N),$$

where $I := [0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

4. R-regularity of H and consequences

We use, throughout this section, the notation of §3.2. We establish in this section the R-regularity of the smooth topological group $H = N \rtimes_\pi G$, and deduce some consequences, notably Trotter formulas for H.

4.1. **R-regularity of H.** We start with a few preparation lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be a Banach–Lie group and let $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I,\Gamma)$ converging uniformly to some $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_{rc}(I,\Gamma)$. Let K_α (resp. K_{α_n}) denote the closure of $\alpha(I)$ (resp. $\alpha_n(I)$) in Γ. Then $K_\Gamma := K_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_{\alpha_n}$ is a compact subset of Γ.*

Proof. Note first that Banach–Lie groups are first countable and hence metrisable by the Birkhoff–Kakutani Theorem. We equip Γ with a metric $\mathrm{d}_\Gamma\colon \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ compatible with the topology. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of K_Γ. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is also an open cover of each of the compact subsets K_α and K_{α_n}. Let $(U_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ be a finite subcover of K_α. Then $U := \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ contains an ε-neighbourhood of K_α for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to α, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}_\Gamma(\alpha_n(t), \alpha(t)) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in I \text{ and } n \ge N.$$

In particular, $K_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{n\geq N} K_{\alpha_n} \subseteq U$. Completing $(U_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}$ with a finite subcover of the compact set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N-1} K_{\alpha_n}$ then yields the desired finite subcover of K_Γ. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let V be an open 0-neighbourhood in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\exp_V := \exp_N|_V\colon V \to \exp_N(V)$ is a diffeomorphism. Let $U_G \subseteq G$ and $V_1 \subseteq V$ be identity neighbourhoods such that $\pi(U_G)\exp_N(V_1) \subseteq \exp_N(V)$. Then*

$$(\exp_V)^{-1}(\pi(g)\exp_N(v)) = \dot\pi(g)v \quad \text{for all } g \in U_G \text{ and } v \in V_1.$$

Proof. Fix some $g \in U_G$ and $v \in V_1$, and consider for $t \in [0,1]$ the functions

$$L(t) := (\exp_V)^{-1}(\pi(g)\exp_N(tv)) \quad \text{and} \quad R(t) := \dot\pi(g)tv.$$

Note first that $\pi(g)\exp_N(tv) \in \exp_N(V)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, so that $L(t)$ is well-defined. Moreover, since $\pi(g)\exp_N(tv) = \exp_N(\dot\pi(g)tv)$ by naturality of the exponential function, we have $L(t) = R(t)$ whenever $R(t) \in V$, which occurs for all sufficiently small values of t (say for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0$), as $\dot\pi(g)$ acts continuously on $\mathfrak{n}$. On the other hand, for all $s,t \in [0,1]$ with $s+t \in [0,1]$, we have the relations $L(s+t) = L(s) + L(t)$ (see [Nee06, Example IV.2.4(a)]) and $R(s+t) = R(s) + R(t)$. Hence $L(t)$ and $R(t)$ are (locally) smooth one-parameter subgroups of the Banach–Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{n},+)$, which coincide for $t \in [0,\epsilon]$, and hence also for all $t \in [0,1]$. In particular, $L(1) = R(1)$, as desired. □

Lemma 4.3. *The linear action $\dot\pi^\wedge\colon G \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}, (g,v) \mapsto \dot\pi(g)v$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let V, V_1 and U_G be as in Lemma 4.2. For each $v \in \mathfrak{n}$, consider the maps

$$\pi^{\exp_N(v)}\colon G \to N,\ g \mapsto \pi(g)\exp_N(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot\pi^v\colon G \to \mathfrak{n},\ g \mapsto \dot\pi(g)v.$$

Then $\pi^{\exp_N(v)}$ is continuous by hypothesis, and hence $\dot\pi^v = (\exp_V)^{-1} \circ \pi^{\exp_N(v)}$ is also continuous on U_G for all $v \in V_1$ by Lemma 4.2. From the relation $\dot\pi^v \circ \lambda_g = \dot\pi(g) \circ \dot\pi^v$ and the fact that $\dot\pi^v(g)$ is continuous linear in v for each $g \in G$, we then deduce that $\dot\pi^v$ is continuous on G for all $v \in \mathfrak{n}$. Since G is metrisable, this implies together with [Nee10, Lemma 5.2] that $\dot\pi^\wedge$ is continuous, as desired. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ be a continuous action. Then $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ is R-regular, i.e. for any $[\gamma] \in R(I,\mathfrak{h})$ there exists a unique $\widetilde\gamma = \mathrm{Evol}_H(\gamma) \in AC_R(I,H)$ with*

$$\delta(\widetilde\gamma) = [\gamma] \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde\gamma(0) = 1_H, \tag{4.1}$$

and the map $\mathrm{Evol}_H\colon R(I,\mathfrak{h}) \to AC_R(I,H)$ is continuous.

Proof. For regulated functions $\alpha\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}$ and $\beta\colon I \to \mathfrak{g}$, we define the map

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}\colon I \to \mathfrak{n},\ t \mapsto \dot\pi(\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)(t))\alpha(t).$$

Fix some equivalence class $[\gamma] \in R(I,\mathfrak{h}) = R(I,\mathfrak{n}) \times R(I,\mathfrak{g})$, which we write as $[\gamma] = ([\alpha],[\beta])$ for some regulated functions $\alpha\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}$ and $\beta\colon I \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Recall first that the IVP

$$\delta(\widetilde\beta) = [\beta], \quad \widetilde\beta(0) = 1_G \tag{4.2}$$

has a unique solution $\widetilde\beta := \mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta) \in AC_R(I,G)$ and that the map $\mathrm{Evol}_G\colon R(I,\mathfrak{g}) \to AC_R(I,G)$ is smooth, hence continuous.

• Claim 1: *If the sequences $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{n})$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{g})$ converge uniformly to α and β, respectively, as $n \to \infty$, then $f_{\alpha_n,\beta_n}(t) \to f_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in t.*

Indeed, fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let K_α (resp. K_{α_n}) denote the closure of $\alpha(I)$ (resp. $\alpha_n(I)$) in $\mathfrak{n}$ and set $K_\beta := \mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)(I)$ and $K_{\beta_n} := \mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta_n)(I)$. Note that K_β and each K_{β_n} is compact in G since Evol_G takes values in a space of continuous functions. Lemma 4.1 then implies that $K_\mathfrak{n} := K_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_{\alpha_n}$ and $K_G := K_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_{\beta_n}$ are compact, and hence $K := K_G \times K_\mathfrak{n}$ is a compact subset of $G \times \mathfrak{n}$. We equip G with a metric $\mathrm{d}_G\colon G \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ compatible with the topology. Since the linear action

$\dot\pi^\wedge\colon G\times\mathfrak{n}\to\mathfrak{n}, (g,v)\mapsto\dot\pi(g)v$ is continuous by Lemma 4.3, it is uniformly continuous on K by the Heine–Cantor Theorem, and hence there exists some $\delta>0$ such that

$$\forall g,h\in K_G, v,w\in K_{\mathfrak{n}}:\ \mathrm{d}_G(g,h)<\delta, \|v-w\|_{\mathfrak{n}}<\delta \implies \|\dot\pi(g)v-\dot\pi(h)w\|_{\mathfrak{n}}<\varepsilon.$$

Note also that $\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta_n)$ converges uniformly to $\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)$ by continuity of Evol_G, and hence there is some $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}_G(\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta_n)(t),\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)(t))<\delta \quad\text{and}\quad \|\alpha_n(t)-\alpha(t)\|_{\mathfrak{n}}<\delta \quad\text{for all } t\in I \text{ and } n\geq N,$$

yielding the claim.

• Claim 2: $f_{\alpha,\beta}\in\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{n})$.

Indeed, assume first that α is continuous. Then $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the composition of the continuous maps

$$I\to G\times\mathfrak{n},\ t\mapsto(\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)(t),\alpha(t)) \quad\text{and}\quad G\times\mathfrak{n}\to\mathfrak{n},\ (g,v)\mapsto\dot\pi(g)v,$$

and is in particular continuous, hence uniformly continuous, hence regulated. In particular, if α is a step function (hence piecewise continuous), then $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is also regulated. Finally, if α is regulated, then it is a uniform limit of step functions, so that $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a uniform limit of regulated functions by Claim 1, and is therefore regulated as well.

• Claim 3: *The smooth topological group H has an evolution map given by*

$$\mathrm{Evol}_H\colon R(I,\mathfrak{h})\to AC_R(I,H),\ ([\alpha],[\beta])\mapsto(\mathrm{Evol}_N(f_{\alpha,\beta}),\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)).$$

Indeed, a pair $(\widetilde\alpha,\widetilde\beta)\in AC_R(I,H)=AC_R(I,N)\times AC_R(I,G)$ is a solution of the IVP (4.1) if and only if it is an AC_R-Carathéodory solution to

$$y'=f(t,y),\quad y(0)=1_G$$

with $f\colon I\times H\to TH$ given for all $t\in I$, $n\in N$ and $g\in G$ by

$$\begin{aligned}f(t,n,g)&:=(n,g).\gamma(t)=T(\lambda_{(n,g)})(\alpha(t),\beta(t))\\&=\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_0(n,g)(\exp_N(s\alpha(t)),\exp_G(s\beta(t)))\\&=\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_0\big(n\cdot\pi(g)\exp_N(s\alpha(t)),g\cdot\exp_G(s\beta(t))\big)\\&=\big(n.\dot\pi(g)\alpha(t),g.\beta(t)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\widetilde\alpha,\widetilde\beta)$ is a solution of (4.1) if and only if $\widetilde\beta$ is a solution of the IVP (4.2), so that $\widetilde\beta=\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta)$, and $\widetilde\alpha$ is a solution of the IVP

$$\delta(\widetilde\alpha)=[f_{\alpha,\beta}],\quad \widetilde\alpha(0)=1_N,$$

as claimed.

• Claim 4: *The map Evol_H is continuous.*

Indeed, since Evol_H is the composition of the maps

$$R(I,\mathfrak{n})\times R(I,\mathfrak{g})\to R(I,\mathfrak{n})\times R(I,\mathfrak{g}),\ ([\alpha],[\beta])\mapsto([f_{\alpha,\beta}],[\beta])$$

and

$$R(I,\mathfrak{n})\times R(I,\mathfrak{g})\to AC_R(I,N)\times AC_R(I,G),\ ([\alpha],[\beta])\mapsto(\mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha),\mathrm{Evol}_G(\beta))$$

by Claim 3, it suffices to show that the map $R(I,\mathfrak{n})\times R(I,\mathfrak{g})\to R(I,\mathfrak{n}), ([\alpha],[\beta])\mapsto[f_{\alpha,\beta}]$ is continuous, which follows from Claim 1. □

Corollary 4.5. *The smooth topological group H has a continuous exponential function*

$$\exp_H\colon\mathfrak{n}\times\mathfrak{g}\to N\rtimes_\pi G,\ (v,x)\mapsto\big(\mathrm{evol}_N(f_{v,x}),\exp_G(x)\big),$$

where

$$f_{v,x}\colon I\to\mathfrak{n},\ t\mapsto\dot\pi(\exp_G(tx))v.$$

Proof. This readily follows from (2.4) together with Claim 3 in the proof of Theorem 4.4. □

Remark 4.6. In the notation of (the proof of) Theorem 4.4, the map $\mathrm{Evol}_H\colon R(I,\mathfrak{h}) \to AC_R(I,H)$ is C^k in some neighbourhood of $([\alpha],[\beta])$ if and only if the map $R(I,\mathfrak{n}) \times R(I,\mathfrak{g}) \to R(I,\mathfrak{n}), ([\alpha],[\beta]) \mapsto [f_{\alpha,\beta}]$ is C^k in some neighbourhood of $([\alpha],[\beta])$ (this is because the logarithmic derivative in the Banach–Lie groups G and N is a smooth inverse for the evolution map, see [Glö15, 5.29]). In particular, Evol_H is in general *not* a smooth map. For instance, if $\alpha = c_v$ ($v \in \mathfrak{n}$) and $\beta = c_x$ ($x \in \mathfrak{g}$) are constant functions, then $f_{\alpha,\beta} = f_{v,x}\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}, t \mapsto \dot\pi(\exp_G(tx))v$ will in general only be C^k in x provided that v is a C^k-vector for $\dot\pi$.

4.2. **Trotter formulas for H.** Now that the R-regularity of H is established, we investigate its consequences on the structure of the space $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},H)$ of C^1 one-parameter subgroups of H, and its relations to the tangent space $\mathfrak{h} = T_1H$ of H at 1_H. We start with an easy observation, which follows from the existence of an exponential function for H.

Lemma 4.7. *The map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},H) \to \mathfrak{h},\ \gamma \mapsto \gamma'(0) := T_0(\gamma)(1)$$

is bijective, with inverse given by $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},H), v \mapsto [t \mapsto \exp_H(tv)]$.

Note that, since the multiplication in H is only continuous, one cannot a priori define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{g}$ using left invariant vector fields as in §2.5. Indeed, the Lie bracket $[(v_1,x_1),(v_2,x_2)] := ([v_1,v_2] + \mathrm{d}\dot\pi(x_1)v_2 - \mathrm{d}\dot\pi(x_2)v_1, [x_1,x_2])$ in $\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{g}$ only makes sense in general when v_1, v_2 are C^1-vectors for $\dot\pi$.

On the other hand, for a Lie group Γ with Lie algebra $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ and smooth exponential function $\exp_\Gamma\colon \mathrm{L}(\Gamma) \to \Gamma$, there may be an alternative approach to describe the Lie algebra structure on $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$, namely when Γ has the Trotter property (resp. commutator property): in this case, one may define a vector space structure (resp. a Lie bracket) on $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$ by the Trotter formula (resp. commutator formula), and then transport the obtained Lie algebra structure on $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$ using the identification $\mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma) \approx \mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$. We recall that Γ is said to have the *Trotter property* if for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\gamma_1(\tfrac{t}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\big)^n = \exp_\Gamma\big(t(\gamma_1'(0)+\gamma_2'(0))\big) \tag{4.3}$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, and the *commutator property* if for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},\Gamma)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\gamma_1(\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_1(-\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\gamma_2(-\tfrac{\sqrt{t}}{n})\big)^{n^2} = \exp_\Gamma\big(t[\gamma_1'(0),\gamma_2'(0)]\big) \tag{4.4}$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,\infty[$. Note that Γ has both the Trotter and commutator properties as soon as Γ has the *strong Trotter property*, that is, as soon as for each C^1-curve $\gamma\colon I \to \Gamma$ with $\gamma(0) = 1_\Gamma$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(\tfrac{t}{n})^n = \exp_\Gamma(t\gamma'(0)) \tag{4.5}$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,\infty[$ (see [Glö15, Theorem H]).

It is now natural to investigate which of the above properties are satisfied in our context, namely by the smooth topological group H. As suggested above, one cannot expect in general H to have the commutator property without further assumption on the curves $\gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R},H)$ involved in the commutator formula (4.4). We illustrate this with the following example.

Example 4.8. Fix some $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider the Hilbert space $V = \ell^2(\mathbb{C})$, together with the continuous linear $\mathbb{R}$-action

$$\pi\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{GL}(V),\ \pi(t)(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := (e^{i\lambda_n t}x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then $V \rtimes_\pi \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth topological group. Note that a vector $v = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in V$ is a C^1-vector for π if and only if $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n x_n|^2 < \infty$. Consider now the smooth one-parameter subgroups $\gamma_1(t) = (tv,0)$ and $\gamma_2(t) = (0,t)$ of $V \rtimes_\pi \mathbb{R}$, where $v = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in V$. Then

$$\gamma(t) := \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)\gamma_1(t)^{-1}\gamma_2(t)^{-1} = \big(t\cdot((1-e^{i\lambda_n t})x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, 0\big),$$

and hence

$$\gamma(\sqrt{t}/m)^{m^2} = \big(m\sqrt{t}\cdot((1-e^{i\lambda_n\sqrt{t}m^{-1}})x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, 0\big).$$

Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} m\sqrt{t}\cdot(1-e^{i\lambda_n\sqrt{t}m^{-1}})x_n = -i\lambda_n x_n t,$$

we deduce that $\lim_{m\to\infty}\gamma(\sqrt{t}/m)^{m^2}$ exists in V if and only if $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_n x_n|^2<\infty$, that is, if and only if v is a C^1-vector for π. In particular, if $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\notin\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, then there exists a $v\in V\setminus V^1$, so that for the above choices of one-parameter subgroups γ_1,γ_2, the limit in (4.4) does not exist.

As noticed in [Glö15, Theorem I], the R-regularity of a Fréchet–Lie group implies the strong Trotter formula. As it turns out, the proof of Theorem I in *loc. cit.* (see [Glö15, Section 12]) can be easily adapted in the setting of smooth topological groups. Combined with Theorem 4.4, this will then imply that H has the strong Trotter property (4.5).

Proposition 4.9. *Let H be an R-regular smooth topological group. Then H has the strong Trotter property: for all $\zeta\in C^1(I,H)$ with $\zeta(0)=1_H$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta(t/n)^n = \exp_H(t\zeta'(0))$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,+\infty[$.

Proof. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}$. For each $n\geq m$, define

$$\zeta_n\colon [0,m]\to H,\ t\mapsto(\zeta(t/n))^n.$$

We have to prove that

$$\zeta_n(t)\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\exp_H(t\zeta'(0)) \quad \text{uniformly in } t\in[0,m].$$

In other words, fixing an open identity neighbourhood U in H, we have to show (cf. Remark 4.10 below) that

$$(\exists n_0\geq m)(\forall n\geq n_0)(\forall t\in[0,m]) \quad \zeta_n(t)\in\exp_H(t\zeta'(0))U. \tag{4.6}$$

Consider the map $\mathrm{evol}\colon \mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})\to H,\sigma\mapsto\mathrm{Evol}_H(\sigma)(1)$. For $v\in\mathfrak{h}$, consider also the constant curve

$$c_v\colon I\to\mathfrak{h},\ s\mapsto v.$$

Since the map $\mathfrak{h}\to\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h}), v\mapsto c_v$ is continuous, the set $K:=\{c_{t\zeta'(0)}\mid t\in[0,m]\}$ is compact.

• Claim 1: *There is an open 0-neighbourhood $Q\subseteq\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\mathrm{evol}(\theta+Q)\subseteq\mathrm{evol}(\theta)U$ for every $\theta\in K$.*

Indeed, consider the continuous function $f\colon \mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})\times\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})\to H,(\theta_1,\theta_2)\mapsto\mathrm{evol}(\theta_1)^{-1}\mathrm{evol}(\theta_2)$. Since $f^{-1}(U)$ is open and contains the compact set $\Delta_K:=\{(\theta,\theta)\mid\theta\in K\}$, there exists some open 0-neighbourhood $Q\subseteq\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\Delta_K+(Q\times Q)\subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. In particular, $f(\Delta_K+(\{0\}\times Q))\subseteq U$, yielding the claim.

For $n\geq m$ and $t\in[0,m]$, we consider the continuous curve $\alpha_{n,t}\colon I\to H$, defined piecewise by

$$\alpha_{n,t}(s):=\zeta(t/n)^k\zeta((s-k/n)t) \quad \text{for } s\in[k/n,(k+1)/n],\ k=0,\dots,n-1.$$

Then $\alpha_{n,t}$ is piecewise C^1, so that $\alpha_{n,t}\in AC_R(I,H)$ with $\beta_{n,t}:=\delta(\alpha_{n,t})\in\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})$ (here we make a slight abuse of notation and identify the logarithmic derivative of a function with some representative of the equivalence class it defines). Moreover,

$$\zeta_n(t)=\alpha_{n,t}(1)=\mathrm{evol}(\beta_{n,t})$$

and

$$\beta_{n,t}(s)=t\delta(\zeta)((s-k/n)t) \quad \text{for } s\in]k/n,(k+1)/n[,\ k=0,\dots,n-1.$$

• Claim 2: *There exists some $n_0\geq m$ such that $\beta_{n,t}-c_{t\zeta'(0)}\in Q$ for all $n\geq n_0$ and $t\in[0,m]$.*

Indeed, let $\epsilon>0$ be such that

$$\overline{B}_\epsilon(0):=\{\tau\in\mathcal{R}(I,\mathfrak{h})\mid\|\tau\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\leq\epsilon\}\subseteq Q.$$

Since $\delta(\zeta)\colon I\to\mathfrak{h}$ is continuous, there is some $\varepsilon\in]0,1]$ such that

$$\|\delta(\zeta)(x)-\delta(\zeta)(0)\|_{\mathfrak{h}}\leq\frac{\epsilon}{m} \quad \text{for all } x\in[0,\varepsilon].$$

Let $n_0 \geq m$ be such that $\frac{m}{n_0} \leq \varepsilon$, and let $n \geq n_0$. Then

$$(s - \tfrac{k}{n})t \leq \tfrac{m}{n_0} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,m] \text{ and } s \in [k/n, (k+1)/n],\ k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Hence

$$\beta_{n,t}(\cdot) = t\delta(\zeta)(0) + t\big(\delta(\zeta)((\cdot - k/n)t) - \delta(\zeta)(0)\big) \in t\delta(\zeta)(0) + t\overline{B}_{\epsilon/m}(0) \subseteq t\delta(\zeta)(0) + \overline{B}_\epsilon(0)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and $t \in [0,m]$. Since $\delta(\zeta)(0) = \zeta'(0)$, this implies that

$$\beta_{n,t} - c_{t\zeta'(0)} \in \overline{B}_\epsilon(0) \subseteq Q$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and $t \in [0,m]$, as desired.

It follows from Claims 1 and 2 that for all $n \geq n_0$ and $t \in [0,m]$,

$$\zeta_n(t) = \mathrm{evol}(\beta_{n,t}) \in \mathrm{evol}(c_{t\zeta'(0)} + Q) \subseteq \mathrm{evol}(c_{t\zeta'(0)})U = \exp_H(t\zeta'(0))U,$$

proving (4.6). □

Remark 4.10. Given a topological group Γ and continuous curves $\gamma_n, \gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to \Gamma$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), the following assertions are equivalent (see [GN, Lemma A.5.21]):

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n(t)\gamma(t)^{-1} = 1_\Gamma$ uniformly for t in compact subsets.
(2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(t)^{-1}\gamma_n(t) = 1_\Gamma$ uniformly for t in compact subsets.
(3) $\gamma_n \to \gamma$ as $n \to \infty$ in the compact open topology.

Corollary 4.11. *Let G, N be Banach–Lie groups, and let $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ be a continuous action. Then $H := N \rtimes_\pi G$ has the strong Trotter property.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.9. □

In contrast to the case of Lie groups, given $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$, one cannot immediately apply Corollary 4.11 to the curve $\gamma(t) := \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)$ in order to deduce the Trotter formula (4.3) in H, as γ might not be C^1. Nevertheless, with some extra work, one can prove a strengthening of (4.3) for H: namely, the formula (4.3) holds in H for any C^1-curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_2\colon I \to H$ with $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = 1_H$, under some additional mild condition on (γ_1, γ_2) (which includes the case where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}^1(\mathbb{R}, H)$).

Definition 4.12. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\gamma_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)\colon I \to H = N \rtimes_\pi G$ be a C^1-curve such that $\gamma_i(0) = 1_H$. We say that the couple (γ_1, γ_2) *has the property* $(\star)$ if

($\star$) There exists some C^1-curve $\alpha_3\colon I \to N$ with $\alpha_3(0) = 1_N$ such that the map

$$h\colon I \to N,\ t \mapsto \pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t)$$

is C^1 and such that $\alpha_3'(0) = h'(0) = \alpha_2'(0)$.

Lemma 4.13. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $\gamma_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)\colon I \to H = N \rtimes_\pi G$ be a C^1-curve such that $\gamma_i(0) = 1_H$. Then (γ_1, γ_2) has the property $(\star)$ as soon as one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) *$\beta_1\colon I \to G$ is a (local) one-parameter subgroup.*
(2) *$\alpha_2'(0) \in \mathfrak{n}^1$.*

Proof. If (1) holds, we define $\alpha_3\colon I \to N$ by $(\alpha_3(t), \beta_1(t)) = \exp_H(t(\alpha_2'(0), \beta_1'(0)))$. Then for all $t \in I$,

$$(\alpha_3(2t), \beta_1(2t)) = (\alpha_3(t), \beta_1(t))^2 = (\alpha_3(t) \cdot \pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t), \beta_1(t)^2)$$

and hence

$$\pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t) = \alpha_3(t)^{-1}\alpha_3(2t),$$

so that α_3 indeed has all the desired properties.

If (2) holds, we define $\alpha_3\colon I \to N$ by $\alpha_3(t) = \exp_N(t\alpha_2'(0))$. Then

$$\pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t) = \exp_N(t \cdot \dot\pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_2'(0)) \quad \text{for all } t \in I,$$

so that α_3 also has all the desired properties in this case. □

Theorem 4.14. *Let G, N be Banach Lie groups and $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ be a continuous action. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2\colon I \to H$ be C^1-curves with $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = 1_H$, and assume that (γ_1, γ_2) has the property $(\star)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\gamma_1(\tfrac{t}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\big)^n = \exp_H\big(t(\gamma_1'(0) + \gamma_2'(0))\big)$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0, \infty[$.

Proof. We fix some left invariant metric d on G compatible with the topology, and we normalise the norm on $\mathfrak{n}$ so that $\|[x, y]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$. We also fix some open connected symmetric 0-neighbourhood V in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\exp_V := \exp_N|_V$ is a diffeomorphism onto the open identity neighbourhood $U := \exp_N(V) \subseteq N$. Finally, we fix some convex open 0-neighbourhood $V_1 \subseteq V$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\exp_V(V_1)^2 \subseteq U$, so that the local multiplication $x * y := \exp_V^{-1}(\exp_V(x)\exp_V(y))$ is defined for all $x, y \in V_1$.

Given a curve $\alpha\colon [0, r] \to U$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we put $\overline{\alpha} := \exp_V^{-1} \circ \alpha\colon [0, r] \to V$. Note that α is continous (resp. C^1) if and only if $\overline{\alpha}$ is continuous (resp. C^1). We also recall that

$$\pi(g)\exp_N(v) = \exp_N(\dot{\pi}(g)v) \quad \forall g \in G,\ v \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, write $\gamma_i(t) = (\alpha_i(t), \beta_i(t))$ for some C^1-curves $\alpha_i\colon I \to N$ and $\beta_i\colon I \to G$. By assumption, there exists some C^1-curve $\alpha_3\colon I \to N$ such that the map $h\colon I \to N, t \mapsto \pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t)$ is C^1 and such that $\alpha_3(0) = 1_N$ and $\alpha_3'(0) = h'(0) = \alpha_2'(0)$.

Consider the continuous curves $c_1, c_2\colon I \to H$, $x_1, x_2\colon I \to N$ and $y\colon I \to G$ defined by

$$c_1(t) := (x_1(t), y(t)) := \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t) = (\alpha_1(t) \cdot \pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_2(t), \beta_1(t)\beta_2(t))$$

and

$$c_2(t) := (x_2(t), y(t)) := (\alpha_1(t) \cdot \pi(\beta_1(t))\alpha_3(t), \beta_1(t)\beta_2(t)).$$

Thus c_2 is a C^1-curve with $c_2'(0) = (\alpha_1'(0) + \alpha_2'(0), \beta_1'(0) + \beta_2'(0)) = \gamma_1'(0) + \gamma_2'(0)$. Corollary 4.11 then implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_2(t/n)^n = \exp_H\big(tc_2'(0)\big) = \exp_H\big(t(\gamma_1'(0) + \gamma_2'(0))\big) \tag{4.7}$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0, \infty[$. It thus remains to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_1(t/n)^{-n} \cdot c_2(t/n)^n = 1_H$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0, \infty[$.

Consider the continuous curves $x\colon I \to N$ and $z_s\colon I \to N$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, defined for $t \in I$ by

$$x(t) := x_2(t)x_1(t)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (z_s(t), y(t)^s) := c_2(t)^s = (x_2(t), y(t))^s = \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \pi(y(t)^i)x_2(t), y(t)^s\Big).$$

One then easily computes that

$$c_1^{-n} = (x_1, y)^{-n} = \Big(\prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y^{-s})x_1^{-1}, y^{-n}\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad c_2^n = (x_2, y)^n = \Big(\pi(y)^n \prod_{s=n}^{1} \pi(y^{-s})x_2, y^n\Big),$$

so that

$$c_1^{-n}c_2^n = \Big(\Big(\prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y^{-s})x_1^{-1}\Big) \cdot \Big(\prod_{s=n}^{1} \pi(y^{-s})x_2\Big), 1_G\Big) = \Big(\prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y^{-s})(z_s^{-1} \cdot x \cdot z_s), 1_G\Big).$$

Indeed, setting $\Pi_n^L := \prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y^{-s})x_1^{-1}$, $\Pi_n^R := \prod_{s=n}^{1} \pi(y^{-s})x_2$ and $\Pi_n^{LR} := \prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y^{-s})(z_s^{-1} \cdot x \cdot z_s)$, we deduce from the equalities

$$\Pi_n^R = \pi(y^{-n})z_n \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(y^{-n})z_n \cdot \pi(y^{-(n+1)})z_{n+1}^{-1} = \pi(y^{-(n+1)})x_2^{-1}$$

that

$$\Pi_n^L \cdot \Pi_n^R \cdot \pi(y^{-(n+1)})(z_{n+1}^{-1}xz_{n+1}) = \Pi_n^L \cdot \pi(y^{-(n+1)})x_1^{-1} \cdot \pi(y^{-(n+1)})z_{n+1} = \Pi_{n+1}^L \cdot \Pi_{n+1}^R,$$

so that the claim follows by induction on n.

Fixing some $R \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we thus have to show that

$$F(n,t) := \prod_{s=1}^{n} \pi(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s})(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))$$

converges uniformly for $t \in [0,R]$ to 1_N as $n \to \infty$. For each $s \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, we set

$$F_s(n,t) := \pi(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s})(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})).$$

Since the local multiplication $f\colon V_1 \times V_1 \to V, (x,y) \mapsto x * y$ is smooth, its second differential

$$d^2 f\colon (V_1 \times V_1) \times (\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}) \times (\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}) \to \mathfrak{n}$$

is continous, where $\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}$ is endowed with the norm $(x,y) \mapsto \|x\| + \|y\|$. Hence there exists some $r > 0$ with $\overline{B}_r^{\mathfrak{n}}(0) \subseteq V_1$ such that

$$d^2 f((\overline{B}_r^{\mathfrak{n}\times\mathfrak{n}}(0))^3) \subseteq \overline{B}_1^{\mathfrak{n}}(0),$$

where $\overline{B}_r^X(0)$ denotes the closed ball centered at 0 and of radius r in the metric space X. In particular, the continuous bilinear map $d^2 f(x,y,\cdot)\colon (\mathfrak{n}\times\mathfrak{n})^2 \to \mathfrak{n}$ has operator norm

$$\|d^2 f(x,y,\cdot)\|_{op} \le \frac{1}{r^2} \quad \text{for all } x,y \in V_1 \text{ with } \|x\|, \|y\| \le r. \tag{4.8}$$

• Claim 1.1: *There is a constant $C = C(r)$ such that*

$$\|x * y\| \le \|x+y\| + C\tfrac{\|x\|^2+\|y\|^2}{2} \quad \textit{for any } x,y \in V_1 \textit{ with } \|x\|, \|y\| \le r.$$

Indeed, the first order Taylor expansion of f around $(0,0)$ with remainder term (see [Nee06, Prop. I.2.3(v)]) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|x*y-x-y\| &\le \int_0^1 (1-t)\|d^2 f((tx,ty),(x,y),(x,y))\|dt \\ &\le \int_0^1 (1-t)\|d^2 f(tx,ty,\cdot)\|_{op}(\|x\|+\|y\|)^2 dt \\ &\le \frac{\|x\|^2+\|y\|^2}{r^2}.\end{aligned}$$

• Claim 1.2: *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho > 0$, set $a_n(\rho) := \frac{1}{C}((C\rho+1)^n - 1)$. Then*

$$a_{2n}(\rho) = 2a_n(\rho) + Ca_n(\rho)^2 \quad \textit{and} \quad a_n(\rho/n) \le a(\rho) := \frac{1}{C}(e^{C\rho}-1) \quad \textit{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \textit{ and } \rho > 0.$$

Indeed, the equality is an easy computation, while the inequality follows from the fact that the sequence $(1+C\rho/n)^n$ is increasing and converging to $e^{C\rho}$.

• Claim 1.3: *Let $n = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $\rho > 0$ with $a(\rho) \le r$ and all $x_1,\dots,x_n \in V_1$ with $\|x_i\| \le \rho/n$ $(i = 1,\dots,n)$, the product $x_1 * x_2 * \cdots * x_n$ is defined and we have*

$$\|x_1 * x_2 * \cdots * x_n\| \le a_n(\rho/n).$$

Indeed, we prove the claim by induction on k. If $k = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Assume now that the claim is true for $n = 2^k$, and let us prove it for $2n$. Let thus $x_1,\dots,x_{2n} \in V_1$ with $\|x_i\| \le \frac{\rho}{2n}$ for all i. Note first that by induction hypothesis and Claim 1.2, we have

$$\|x_1 * \cdots * x_n\| \le a_n(\rho/n) \le a(\rho) \le r$$

and similarly $\|x_{n+1} * \cdots * x_{2n}\| \leq r$, so that $x_1 * \cdots * x_n$ and $x_{n+1} * \cdots * x_{2n}$ belong to V_1. Hence their product $f(x_1 * \cdots * x_n, x_{n+1} * \cdots * x_{2n})$ is defined. Moreover, the induction hypothesis (with $\rho/2$ instead of ρ) and Claim 1.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_1 * \cdots * x_{2n}\| &\leq \|x_1 * \cdots * x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} * \cdots * x_{2n}\| + C\frac{\|x_1 * \cdots * x_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} * \cdots * x_{2n}\|^2}{2} \\ &\leq 2a_n(\tfrac{\rho}{2n}) + Ca_n(\tfrac{\rho}{2n})^2 = a_{2n}(\tfrac{\rho}{2n}),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Claim 1.2.

• Claim 1.4: *Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then $\exp_N(x)\exp_N(y)\exp_N(x)^{-1} = \exp_N(e^{\operatorname{ad} x}y)$ and $\|e^{\operatorname{ad} x}y\| \leq e^{\|x\|}\|y\|$.* Indeed, by naturality of the exponential map, we have (see [Nee06, (2.5.4) p.340])

$$\exp_N(x)\exp_N(y)\exp_N(x)^{-1} = \exp_N \circ \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_N(x))(y) = \exp_N(e^{\operatorname{ad} x}y).$$

Moreover, since $\|[x,z]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|z\|$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{n}$, so that $\operatorname{ad} x$ has operator norm $\|\operatorname{ad} x\| \leq \|x\|$, we have

$$\|e^{\operatorname{ad} x}y\| \leq \|e^{\operatorname{ad} x}\| \cdot \|y\| = e^{\|\operatorname{ad} x\|}\|y\| \leq e^{\|x\|}\|y\|.$$

• Claim 2.1: *Let $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Then there is some $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the curve $\overline{x} := \exp_V^{-1} \circ x$ satisfies*

$$x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| \leq \epsilon_1/n \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,R] \text{ and } n \geq N_1.$$

Indeed, since $x(t) = \alpha_1(t) \cdot \pi(\beta_1(t))(\alpha_3(t)\alpha_2(t)^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_1(t)^{-1}$ is continuous, certainly $x(\frac{t}{n}) \in U$ for all large enough n (with $t \in [0,R]$). We may thus define $\overline{x}(\frac{t}{n}) = \exp_V^{-1}(x(\frac{t}{n}))$ for n large enough.

Let $\epsilon_1' > 0$. Recall that $\alpha_2'(0) = \alpha_3'(0)$. Hence there is some $N_1' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1'$, we have

$$\left\|\frac{\overline{\alpha}_3(\frac{t}{n}) - \overline{\alpha}_2(\frac{t}{n})}{t/n}\right\| \leq \epsilon_1', \quad \left\|\frac{\overline{\alpha}_2(\frac{t}{n}))}{t/n}\right\|^2 \leq \|\alpha_2'(0)\|^2 + \epsilon_1' \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|\frac{\overline{\alpha}_3(\frac{t}{n}))}{t/n}\right\|^2 \leq \|\alpha_2'(0)\|^2 + \epsilon_1'.$$

Up to increasing N_1', we may further assume that $\frac{CR(\|\alpha_2'(0)\|^2+\epsilon_1')}{n} \leq \epsilon_1'$ for all $n \geq N_1'$. It then follows from Claim 1.1 that for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1'$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\exp_V^{-1}(\alpha_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\alpha_2(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1})\| &= \|\overline{\alpha}_3(\tfrac{t}{n}) * (-\overline{\alpha}_2(\tfrac{t}{n}))\| \\ &\leq \|\overline{\alpha}_3(\tfrac{t}{n}) - \overline{\alpha}_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\| + C\frac{\|\overline{\alpha}_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\|^2 + \|\overline{\alpha}_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\|^2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{R\epsilon_1'}{n} + \frac{CR^2(\|\alpha_2'(0)\|^2 + \epsilon_1')}{n^2} \leq \frac{2R\epsilon_1'}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon_1'' > 0$. Since the linear action $G \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}, (g,v) \mapsto \dot{\pi}(g)v$ is continuous by Lemma 4.3, we may choose ϵ_1' small enough so that

$$\|\dot{\pi}(g)v\| \leq \epsilon_1'' \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ with } \mathrm{d}(g,1_G) \leq \epsilon_1' \text{ and all } v \in \mathfrak{n} \text{ with } \|v\| \leq 2R\epsilon_1'.$$

Moreover, since β_1 is continuous, there is some $N_1'' \geq N_1'$ such that $\mathrm{d}(\beta_1(\frac{t}{n}), 1_G) \leq \epsilon_1'$ for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1''$. Hence for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1''$,

$$\|n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(\pi(\beta_1(\tfrac{t}{n}))(\alpha_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\alpha_2(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1}))\| = \|\dot{\pi}(\beta_1(\tfrac{t}{n}))(n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(\alpha_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\alpha_2(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1}))\| \leq \epsilon_1''.$$

Finally, we choose ϵ_1'' so that $\epsilon_1'' \cdot e^{\epsilon_1''} \leq \epsilon_1$. Since α_1 is continuous, there is some $N_1 \geq N_1''$ such that $\|\overline{\alpha}_1(t/n)\| \leq \epsilon_1''$ for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1$. It then follows from Claim 1.4 that for all $t \in [0,R]$ and $n \geq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|n\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| &= \|n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}\left(\alpha_1(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot \pi(\beta_1(\tfrac{t}{n}))(\alpha_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\alpha_2(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_1(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1}\right)\| \\ &= \|e^{\operatorname{ad}\overline{\alpha}_1(t/n)}(n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(\pi(\beta_1(\tfrac{t}{n}))(\alpha_3(\tfrac{t}{n})\alpha_2(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1})))\| \leq \epsilon_1'' \cdot e^{\epsilon_1''} \leq \epsilon_1.\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Claim 2.1.

• Claim 2.2: *Let $\epsilon_2 > 0$. Then there is some $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N_2$, $s \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$ and $t \in [0,R]$,*

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}\left(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})\right)\| \leq \epsilon_2/n.$$

Indeed, let $\epsilon_2' > 0$. Define the continuous curve $z\colon \mathbb{R} \to N$ by

$$(z(t), \exp_G(t(\beta_1'(0) + \beta_2'(0)))) = \exp_H(tc_2'(0)) \quad \text{for all } t \in I.$$

Then (4.7) implies that $z_n(\frac{t}{n}) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} z(t)$ uniformly for $t \in [0, R]$. Hence there exists some $N_2' \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on ϵ_2') such that

$$z_n(\tfrac{t}{n})z(t)^{-1} \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}(z_n(\tfrac{t}{n})z(t)^{-1})\| \leq \epsilon_2' \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq N_2'.$$

In particular,

$$\|\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})z(t)^{-1})\| = \|\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{st/n}{s})z(t)^{-1})\| \leq \epsilon_2' \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq s \geq N_2'. \tag{4.9}$$

Note also that by Claim 2.1, there is some $N_1 \geq N_2'$ such that

$$x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| \leq \epsilon_2'/n \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq N_1. \tag{4.10}$$

Consider the compact subset $K := z([0, R])^{-1}$ of N, and let $U_1 \subseteq U$ be an identity neighbourhood in N such that $\mathrm{Int}(K)U_1 := \{gug^{-1} \mid g \in K,\ u \in U_1\} \subseteq U$. From (4.9), (4.10) and Claim 1.4, we get for all $t \in [0, R]$, $n \geq N_1$ and $s \leq n$ with $s \geq N_2'$ that

$$\mathrm{Int}(z(t))(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})) = \exp_N(e^{\mathrm{ad}\exp_V^{-1}(z(t)z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1})}\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})) \tag{4.11}$$

and that

$$\|e^{\mathrm{ad}\exp_V^{-1}(z(t)z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1})}\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| \leq \tfrac{\epsilon_2'}{n} \cdot e^{\epsilon_2'}. \tag{4.12}$$

In particular, choosing ϵ_2' small enough, we may assume that

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in \mathrm{Int}(z(t)^{-1})U_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(K)U_1 \subseteq U$$

for all $t \in [0, R]$, $n \geq N_1$ and $s \leq n$ with $s \geq N_2'$. Let now $V_2 \subseteq V$ be a 0-neighbourhood in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(K)V_2 \subseteq \overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\epsilon_2}(0)$. We further choose ϵ_2' small enough so that $\overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\epsilon_2' \cdot e^{\epsilon_2'}}(0) \subseteq V_2$. (We now fix this choice of ϵ_2', and hence also of N_2'.) We then deduce from (4.11) and (4.12) that for all $t \in [0, R]$, $n \geq N_1$ and $s \leq n$ with $s \geq N_2'$,

$$n \cdot \mathrm{Ad}(z(t))(\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))) = n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Int}(z(t))(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))\right) \in V_2$$

and hence that

$$n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})) \in \mathrm{Ad}(K)V_2 \subseteq \overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\epsilon_2}(0).$$

This proves Claim 2.2 when $s \geq N_2'$, with $N_2 := N_1$.

We now deal with the case where $s < N_2'$. In this case, since z_s is continuous, there is some $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))\| \leq \epsilon_2' \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq N_2.$$

Up to increasing N_2, we may further assume that $z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\frac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\frac{t}{n}) \in U$ for all $t \in [0, R]$ and $n \geq N_2$. Together with (4.10) and Claim 1.4, this implies that

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) = \exp_N\left(e^{\mathrm{ad}\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1})}\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\right)$$

and

$$\|e^{\mathrm{ad}\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1})}\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| \leq \tfrac{\epsilon_2'}{n} \cdot e^{\epsilon_2'}$$

for all $t \in [0, R]$ and $n \geq N_2$. Hence, as before,

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U$$

and

$$\|n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}\left(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})\right)\| = \|n \cdot e^{\mathrm{ad}\exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\frac{t}{n})^{-1})}\overline{x}(\tfrac{t}{n})\| \leq \epsilon_2' \cdot e^{\epsilon_2'} \leq \epsilon_2$$

for all $t \in [0, R]$ and $n \geq N_2$. This concludes the proof of Claim 2.2.

• Claim 2.3: *Let $\epsilon_3 > 0$. Then there is some $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$F_s(n, t) \in U \quad \textit{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}(F_s(n, t))\| \leq \epsilon_3/n \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0, R],\ n \geq N_3 \textit{ and } s \in \{0, \ldots, n\}.$$

Indeed, let $\epsilon_3' > 0$. Define the continuous curve

$$\widetilde{y}\colon \mathbb{R} \to G,\ \widetilde{y}(t) := \exp_G(-t(\beta_1'(0) + \beta_2'(0))).$$

The strong Trotter property of the Banach Lie group G then implies that $y(\frac{t}{n})^{-n} \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{y}(t)$ uniformly for $t \in [0, R]$. Hence there exists some $N_3' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-n}, \widetilde{y}(t)) \leq \epsilon_3' \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq N_3'.$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{d}(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s}, \widetilde{y}(t)) = \mathrm{d}(y(\tfrac{st/n}{s})^{-s}, \widetilde{y}(t)) \leq \epsilon_3' \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq s \geq N_3'. \tag{4.13}$$

Note also that by Claim 2.2, there is some $N_2 \geq N_3'$ such that for all $n \geq N_2$, $s \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $t \in [0, R]$,

$$z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}\big(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})\big)\| \leq \epsilon_3'/n. \tag{4.14}$$

Consider the compact subset $K := \widetilde{y}([0, R])$ of G. Since the linear action $G \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}, (g, v) \mapsto \dot{\pi}(g)v$ is continuous by Lemma 4.3, one may choose ϵ_3' small enough so that

$$\dot{\pi}(K\overline{B}^G_{\epsilon_3'}(1_G))\overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\epsilon_3'}(0) \subseteq \overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\epsilon_3}(0) \cap V, \tag{4.15}$$

where the closed ball in G is defined with respect to the metric d. We now fix this choice of ϵ_3', and hence also of N_3'.

From (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), we get for all $t \in [0, R]$, $n \geq N_2$ and $s \leq n$ with $s \geq N_3'$ that

$$\dot{\pi}(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s}) \exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})) \in V,$$

so that

$$F_s(n, t) = \pi(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s})(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})) = \exp_N\big(\dot{\pi}(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s}) \exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))\big) \in U,$$

and that

$$\|n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(F_s(n, t))\| = \|\dot{\pi}(y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s})\big(n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(z_s(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-1} \cdot x(\tfrac{t}{n}) \cdot z_s(\tfrac{t}{n}))\big)\| \leq \epsilon_3.$$

This proves Claim 2.3 when $s \geq N_3'$, with $N_3 := N_2$.

We now deal with the case where $s < N_3'$. In this case, since y^{-s} is continuous, there is some $N_3 \geq N_2$ such that

$$y(\tfrac{t}{n})^{-s} \in \overline{B}^G_{\epsilon_3'}(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R] \text{ and } n \geq N_3.$$

One then concludes as above that for all $t \in [0, R]$ and $n \geq N_3$,

$$F_s(n, t) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|n \cdot \exp_V^{-1}(F_s(n, t))\| \leq \epsilon_3.$$

This concludes the proof of Claim 2.3.

• Claim 2.4: *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$F(n, t) \in U \quad \textit{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}(F(n, t))\| \leq \epsilon \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0, R] \textit{ and } n \geq N.$$

Indeed, let $\rho > 0$ be such that $\overline{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_\rho(0) \subseteq V_1$. Denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\widetilde{n}$ the smallest natural number of the form $\widetilde{n} = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\widetilde{n} \geq n$. By Claim 2.3, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$F_s(n, t) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp_V^{-1}(F_s(n, t))\| \leq \tfrac{\rho}{2n} \leq \rho/\widetilde{n} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, R],\ n \geq N \text{ and } s \in \{0, \dots, n\}.$$

It then follows from Claims 1.2 and 1.3 that for all $t \in [0, R]$ and $n \geq N$,

$$F(n, t) = \prod_{s=1}^{n} F_s(n, t) = \exp_V(\exp_V^{-1}(F_1(n, t)) * \cdots * \exp_V^{-1}(F_n(n, t))) \in U$$

and

$$\|\exp_V^{-1}(F(n, t))\| = \|\exp_V^{-1}(F_1(n, t)) * \cdots * \exp_V^{-1}(F_n(n, t))\| \leq a_{\widetilde{n}}(\rho/\widetilde{n}) \leq a(\rho) = \frac{1}{C}(e^{C\rho} - 1).$$

Choosing ρ such that $a(\rho) \leq \epsilon$ then yields the claim.

It now follows from Claim 2.4 that $F(n,t) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1_N$ uniformly for $t \in [0,R]$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.15. *Let G, N be Banach Lie groups and $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ be a continuous action. Then $H = N \rtimes_\pi G$ has the Trotter property: for all C^1 (local) one-parameter subgroups $\gamma_1, \gamma_2\colon I \to H$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\gamma_1(\tfrac{t}{n})\gamma_2(\tfrac{t}{n})\right)^n = \exp_H\left(t(\gamma_1'(0) + \gamma_2'(0))\right)$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of $[0,\infty[$.

Proof. This readily follows from Lemma 4.13 and Theorem 4.14. □

4.3. **Continuous one-parameter subgroups of H.** We conclude this section by investigating the space $\mathrm{Hom}^0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of continuous one-parameter subgroups of $H = N \rtimes_\pi G$. In contrast to the situation of Banach–Lie groups, not every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is automatically smooth: using the non-smoothness of the multiplication in H, one can easily produce counterexamples by conjugating smooth one-parameter subgroups with suitable elements. If N is abelian, we prove that, conversely, every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}^0(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is conjugated to some smooth one-parameter subgroup of H.

Given a continuous representation $\mathcal{U}\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{GL}(E), t \mapsto \mathcal{U}_t$ of $\mathbb{R}$ on a Banach space E, we call a map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R} \to E$ a 1-*cocycle* (or just *cocycle*) for $\mathcal{U}$ if $\mathcal{U}_t\alpha(s) = \alpha(t+s) - \alpha(t)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Cocycles of the form $\alpha(t) = \mathcal{U}_t v - v$ for some $v \in E$ are called *coboundaries*. We call two cocycles *equivalent* if their difference is a coboundary.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\mathcal{U}\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{GL}(E), t \mapsto \mathcal{U}_t$ be a continuous action of $\mathbb{R}$ on a Banach space E. Then every continuous cocycle $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R} \to E$ for $\mathcal{U}$ is equivalent to a smooth cocycle for $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Pick some bump function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)ds = 1$, and set $v := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)\alpha(s)ds \in E$. Consider the coboundary $\widetilde{\alpha}(t) := \mathcal{U}_t v - v$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\alpha}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)(\mathcal{U}_t\alpha(s) - \alpha(s))ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)(\alpha(t+s) - \alpha(t) - \alpha(s))ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)(\alpha(t+s) - \alpha(s))ds - \alpha(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(s-t) - f(s))\alpha(s)ds - \alpha(t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\widetilde{\alpha}(t) + \alpha(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}(f(s-t) - f(s))\alpha(s)ds$ is a smooth cocycle for $\mathcal{U}$, yielding the lemma. □

Proposition 4.17. *If N is abelian, then every continuous one-parameter subgroup $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to H = N \rtimes_\pi G$ is conjugated to a smooth one, hence of the form $\gamma(t) = g\exp_H(tx)g^{-1}$ for some $g \in H, x \in \mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. Assume first that $N = E$ is a Banach space. Write $\gamma(t) = (\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ for some continuous curves $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R} \to N$ and $\beta\colon \mathbb{R} \to G$. Since γ is a one-parameter subgroup, β is a (smooth) one-parameter subgroup of G and

$$\alpha(s+t) = \pi(\beta(t))\alpha(s) + \alpha(t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In other words, α is a cocycle for the continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action $\mathcal{U} := \pi \circ \beta\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{GL}(N)$. It then follows from Lemma 4.16 that there is some $v \in N$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha}(t) := \alpha(t) + \pi(\beta(t))v - v$ is a smooth cocycle for $\pi \circ \beta$. Hence $(\widetilde{\alpha}(t), \beta(t)) = (v, 1_G)^{-1}\gamma(t)(v, 1_G)$ is a smooth one-parameter subgroup of H, as desired.

Assume next that N is abelian. Since $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is connected, we may without loss of generality assume that N is connected. Then the simply connected cover $\widetilde{N}$ of N is a Banach space, and the action $\pi\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ lifts to a continuous action $\widetilde{\pi}\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(\widetilde{N})$. One may then conclude using the first part of the proof. □

5. The Fréchet–Lie group N^∞ of smooth elements

We use, throughout this section, the notation of §3.2. In particular, G and N are Banach–Lie groups with respective Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, and $\pi^\wedge\colon G \times N \to N, (g,n) \mapsto \pi(g)n$ is a continuous action. We establish in this section that the space

$$N^\infty = \{n \in N \mid \pi^n\colon G \to N,\ g \mapsto \pi(g)n \text{ is smooth}\}$$

of smooth elements for π admits a Fréchet–Lie group structure for which the restricted action

$$\pi^\wedge_\infty\colon G \times N^\infty \to N^\infty,\ (g,n) \mapsto \pi^\wedge(g,n)$$

is smooth. We moreover show that the Fréchet–Lie groups N^∞ and $H^\infty := N^\infty \rtimes_\pi G$ are R-regular, and hence have the strong Trotter and commutator properties.

5.1. **A Fréchet–Lie group structure on H^∞.** By [Nee10, Theorem 4.4 and Prop. 5.4], the space $\mathfrak{n}^\infty = \{v \in \mathfrak{n} \mid \dot\pi^v \text{ is smooth}\}$ of smooth vectors for $\dot\pi$ admits a Fréchet space topology for which the restricted action $\dot\pi^\wedge_\infty\colon G \times \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty, (g,v) \mapsto \dot\pi(g)v$ is smooth. We will show that there exists a unique Fréchet–Lie group structure on N^∞ for which the exponential map $\mathfrak{n}^\infty \to N^\infty, v \mapsto \exp_N(v)$ is a local diffeomorphism.

We recall from [Nee10, Definition 4.1] that $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is topologised as follows. Recall from §3.2 (see also [Nee10, Section 4]) that we have a representation $d\dot\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, defined by

$$d\dot\pi(x)v := d(\dot\pi^v)(1_G;x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \dot\pi(\exp_G(tx))v \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in \mathfrak{n}^\infty.$$

In particular, in the notation of §2.5 (see (2.1)), we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{n}^\infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned} d\dot\pi(x_1)\ldots d\dot\pi(x_k)v &= \frac{d}{dt_1}\Big|_{t_1=0} \ldots \frac{d}{dt_k}\Big|_{t_k=0} \dot\pi(\exp_G(t_1x_1)\ldots\exp_G(t_kx_k))v \\ &= d^k(\dot\pi^v)(1_G;x_1,\ldots,x_k). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{Mult}^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n})$ be the space of continuous k-linear maps $\mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathfrak{n}$, which we equip with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. Thus $\mathrm{Mult}^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n})$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|\omega\| := \sup\{\|\omega(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\| \ :\ \|x_1\|,\ldots,\|x_k\| \le 1\}.$$

Consider also the map

$$\Psi_k\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathrm{Mult}^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n}), \quad \Psi_k(v)(x_1,\ldots,x_k) := d\dot\pi(x_1)\ldots d\dot\pi(x_k)v.$$

This yields an injective linear map

$$\Psi\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \prod_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Mult}^k(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{n}),$$

and we define the topology on $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ so that Ψ is a topological embedding. Then $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is a Fréchet space, with respect to the family $\{p_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of seminorms defined by

$$p_k(v) := \sup\{\|d\dot\pi(x_1)\ldots d\dot\pi(x_k)v\| \ :\ \|x_1\|,\ldots,\|x_k\| \le 1\}.$$

The following two lemmas provide our main tool to establish smoothness of $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$-valued maps.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X, Y, Z be locally convex spaces and $U_Y \subseteq Y$ be open. Let $f\colon U_Y \to \mathrm{Mult}^k(X,Z)$ be a map such that $\widetilde{f}\colon U_Y \times X^k \to Z, (y,x_1,\ldots,x_k) \mapsto f(y)(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ is smooth. Then f is smooth.*

Proof. This follows from [Glö07, Proposition 2.1(b)] or [GN, Corollary 1.6.32]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let F be one of the Fréchet spaces $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$, $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ or $C(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$, and write F_B for the Banach space $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n})$ or $C(I,\mathfrak{n})$, respectively. Let E be a locally convex space, $U \subseteq E$ be an open subset and $h\colon U \to F$ be a map. Assume that there exists some identity neighbourhood U_G in G such that the map*

$$f\colon U_G \times U \to F_B,\ (g,y) \mapsto \dot\pi^{h(y)}(g) = \dot\pi(g)h(y)$$

is smooth. Then h is smooth.

Proof. Since f is smooth, the maps

$$\widetilde{f_k}\colon \mathfrak{g}^k \times U \to F_B,\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, y) \mapsto (d_1^k f)(1_G, x_1, \dots, x_k, y) = d^k(\dot\pi^{h(y)})(1_G, x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

are also smooth for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 5.1 then implies that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the induced map

$$f_k\colon U \to \mathrm{Mult}^k(\mathfrak{g}, F_B),\ y \mapsto \big[(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto d^k(\dot\pi^{h(y)})(1_G, x_1, \dots, x_k)\big]$$

is smooth. Since $f_k = \Psi_k \circ h$ by (5.1) (where $\Psi_k(\alpha)(t) := \Psi_k(\alpha(t))$ for $t \in I$ and $\alpha \in F$ if F is $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ or $C(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$), this in turn implies that h is smooth, as desired. □

We now introduce some additional notation, allowing us to work in charts.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists an open connected symmetric* 0*-neighbourhood* V *in* $\mathfrak{n}$ *such that the following assertions hold:*

(1) *There exists some open* 0*-neighbourhood* $W \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ *with* $V \subseteq W$ *such that* $\exp_W := \exp_N|_W$ *is a diffeomorphism onto* $\exp_N(W)$*, and such that* $\exp_N(V)^2 \subseteq \exp_N(W)$*. In particular, one may define the local multiplication* $*\colon V \times V \to \mathfrak{n}, (x, y) \mapsto x * y := \exp_W^{-1}(\exp_W(x)\exp_W(y))$*.*
(2) $\exp_N(V^\infty) = N^\infty \cap \exp_N(V)$*, where* $V^\infty := V \cap \mathfrak{n}^\infty$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, there exist open (connected, symmetric) neighbourhoods U_G of 1_G in G and V of 0 in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\exp_V := \exp_N|_V$ is a diffeomorphism onto $\exp_V(V)$ and such that

$$(\exp_V)^{-1} \circ \pi^{\exp_N(v)}(g) = \dot\pi^v(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in U_G \text{ and } v \in V.$$

Up to schrinking V, we may moreover assume that (1) is satisfied.

If $v \in \mathfrak{n}^\infty$, so that $\dot\pi^v$ is smooth, then $\pi^{\exp_N(v)} = \exp_N \circ \dot\pi^v$ is smooth (because $\exp_N$ is smooth), showing that $\exp_N(v) \in N^\infty$. Conversely, if $\exp_N(v) \in N^\infty$ for some $v \in V$, so that $\pi^{\exp_N(v)}$ is smooth, then $\dot\pi^v|_{U_G} = (\exp_V)^{-1} \circ \pi^{\exp_N(v)}|_{U_G}$ is smooth, and hence also $\dot\pi^v$ (because $\dot\pi^v \circ \lambda_g = \dot\pi(g) \circ \dot\pi^v$ for all $g \in G$). Thus (2) is also satisfied. □

Let V and V^∞ be as in the statement of Lemma 5.3. We also fix some open identity neighbourhood $U_G \subseteq G$ and some open (connected, symmetric) 0-neighbourhood $V_1 \subseteq V$ such that $\dot\pi(U_G)V_1 \subseteq V$ (see Lemma 4.3). Up to schrinking U_G, we moreover assume that there is some open 0-neighbourhood $V_G \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\exp_{V_G} := \exp_G|_{V_G}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $U_G = \exp_G(V_G)$. Finally, we set $V_1^\infty := V_1 \cap V^\infty$. Note that, since the topology on $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is finer than the topology on $\mathfrak{n}$, the inclusion map $\iota\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is smooth. In particular, the sets V^∞ and V_1^∞ are open in $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$.

Lemma 5.4. *The quadruple* $(\mathfrak{n}^\infty, V_1^\infty \times V_1^\infty, *, 0)$ *is a local Lie group, where* $*\colon V_1^\infty \times V_1^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty$ *is the local multiplication of* $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$*.*

Proof. Since the local inversion $\mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty, x \to -x$ is clearly smooth, we only have to show that the local multiplication $*$ is smooth. By Lemma 5.2, it is sufficient to show that the map

$$f\colon U_G \times (V_1^\infty \times V_1^\infty) \to \mathfrak{n},\ (g, x, y) \mapsto \dot\pi^{x*y}(g) = \dot\pi(g)x * \dot\pi(g)y$$

is smooth. But f is the composition of the smooth action map

$$U_G \times (V_1^\infty \times V_1^\infty) \to V^\infty \times V^\infty,\ (g, x, y) \mapsto (\dot\pi^\wedge(g, x), \dot\pi^\wedge(g, y)),$$

the smooth inclusion map $V^\infty \times V^\infty \hookrightarrow V \times V$ and the local multiplication map $V \times V \to \mathfrak{n}, (x, y) \mapsto x * y$ in $\mathfrak{n}$, yielding the claim. □

Lemma 5.5. *For each* $n \in N^\infty$*, the map* $\mathrm{Ad}(n)\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty$ *is smooth.*

Proof. Let $n \in N^\infty$. For all $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathfrak{n}^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot\pi(g)(\mathrm{Ad}(n)v) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \pi(g)(n\exp_N(tv)n^{-1}) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{Int}(\pi(g)n)\pi(g)(\exp_N(tv)) \\ &= \mathrm{Ad}(\pi(g)n)\dot\pi(g)v.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Ad}(n)\mathfrak{n}^\infty \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^\infty$. Moreover, the map

$$f\colon U_G \times \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n},\ (g, v) \mapsto \dot\pi^{\mathrm{Ad}(n)v}(g) = \mathrm{Ad}(\pi(g)n)\dot\pi(g)v$$

is smooth, as it is the composition of the smooth maps

$$U_G \times \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to N \times \mathfrak{n},\ (g,v) \mapsto (\pi^n(g), \iota \circ \dot{\pi}^\wedge(g,v))$$

and $\mathrm{Ad}\colon N \times \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{n}$. We may then apply Lemma 5.2 to conclude that the map $\mathrm{Ad}(n)\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is smooth as well, as desired. □

Theorem 5.6. *The group N^∞ has a unique Fréchet–Lie group structure for which $\exp_N\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to N^\infty$ is a local diffeomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, the quadruple $(V^\infty, V_1^\infty \times V_1^\infty, *, 0)$ is a local Fréchet–Lie group. Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, the restriction of $\exp_N$ to V^∞ yields an injective morphism $\exp_{V^\infty}\colon V^\infty \to N^\infty$ of local groups. Set $U := \exp_{V^\infty}(V^\infty) \subseteq N^\infty$. Note that $U = U^{-1}$ as V^∞ is symmetric. We equip U with the smooth Fréchet manifold structure coming from V^∞, that is, such that $\exp_{V^\infty}\colon V^\infty \to U$ is a diffeomorphism. This turns U into a local Lie group, with respect to the multiplication and neutral element in N^∞.

For each $n \in N^\infty$, the conjugation map

$$\mathrm{Int}(n)\colon\ \exp_N(V_1^\infty) \to N^\infty,\ \exp_N(v) \mapsto n\exp_N(v)n^{-1} = \exp_N(\mathrm{Ad}(n)v)$$

is smooth by Lemma 5.5, and hence there exists some open symmetric identity neighbourhood $U_n \subseteq U$ such that $\mathrm{Int}(n)U_n \subseteq U$ and such that $\mathrm{Int}(n)\colon U_n \to U$ is smooth. It then follows from [Nee06, Theorem II.2.1] that there is a unique Lie group structure on N^∞ for which $\exp_N\colon \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to N^\infty$ is a local diffeomorphism. □

We now show that the induced G-action

$$\pi_\infty\colon\ G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N^\infty),\ g \mapsto \pi(g)|_{N^\infty}$$

on the Fréchet–Lie group N^∞ is smooth.

Theorem 5.7. *The action map $\pi_\infty^\wedge\colon\ G \times N^\infty \to N^\infty, (g,n) \mapsto \pi(g)n$ is smooth.*

Proof. We first claim that for any $n \in N^\infty$, the orbit map $\pi_\infty^n\colon\ G \to N^\infty, g \mapsto \pi(g)n$ is smooth. Indeed, let $n \in N^\infty$ and consider the smooth map $h_n := \lambda_{n^{-1}} \circ \pi^n\colon\ G \to N$. Since $h_n(1_G) = 1_N$, there exists some open 0-neighbourhood $W_G \subseteq V_G$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\pi(\exp_G(W_G))h_n(\exp_G(W_G)) \subseteq \exp_N(V) \cap N^\infty = \exp_N(V^\infty)$$

(see Lemma 5.3). Since $\pi_\infty^n \circ \lambda_g = \pi(g) \circ \pi_\infty^n$ for all $g \in G$, it is sufficient to prove that π_∞^n is smooth on $\exp_G(W_G)$, or equivalently, that the map

$$\widetilde{h}_n\colon\ W_G \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty,\ x \mapsto \exp_V^{-1}(h_n(\exp_G(x)))$$

is smooth. But since h_n is smooth, the map $f\colon\ \exp_G(W_G) \times W_G \to \mathfrak{n}$ defined by

$$f(g,x) := \dot{\pi}^{\widetilde{h}_n(x)}(g) = \dot{\pi}(g)\exp_V^{-1}(n^{-1} \cdot \pi(\exp_G(x))n) = \exp_V^{-1}(h_n(g)^{-1} \cdot h_n(g\exp_G(x)))$$

is smooth as well, so that the claim follows from Lemma 5.2.

On the other hand, the restriction of $\pi_\infty^\wedge$ to the open subset $G \times \exp_N(V^\infty)$ of $G \times N^\infty$ is smooth, since it is the composition of the smooth maps

$$\mathrm{id}_G \times \exp_V^{-1}\colon\ G \times \exp_N(V^\infty) \to G \times V^\infty, \quad \dot{\pi}_\infty^\wedge\colon\ G \times \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \exp_N\colon\ \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to N^\infty.$$

Given $n_0 \in N^\infty$, it now remains to prove the smoothness of the map

$$G \times n_0\exp_N(V^\infty) \to N^\infty,\ (g, n_0n) \mapsto \pi_\infty^\wedge(g, n_0n) = \pi(g)n_0 \cdot \pi(g)n.$$

But this follows from the smoothness of the maps $G \times n_0\exp_N(V^\infty) \to G \times \exp_N(V^\infty), (g, n_0n) \mapsto (g,n)$,

$$G \times \exp_N(V^\infty) \to N^\infty \times N^\infty,\ (g,n) \mapsto (\pi_\infty^{n_0}(g), \pi_\infty^\wedge(g,n))$$

and $N^\infty \times N^\infty \to N^\infty, (n_1,n_2) \mapsto n_1n_2$. □

Corollary 5.8. *The group $H^\infty := N^\infty \rtimes_{\pi_\infty} G$ has a canonical Fréchet–Lie group structure extending the Lie group structures on G and N^∞.*

5.2. **R-regularity of H^∞.** Keeping with the notation introduced so far in this section, we now show that the Fréchet–Lie group N^∞ is R-regular, with a smooth evolution map

$$\mathrm{Evol}_{N^\infty}\colon\ R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)\to AC_R(I,N^\infty).$$

Since for any $\alpha\in R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$, the map $\iota\circ\alpha$ is in $R(I,\mathfrak{n})$ by Lemma 2.2 (where $\iota\colon\ \mathfrak{n}^\infty\hookrightarrow\mathfrak{n}$), one may identify $R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ with a subspace of $R(I,\mathfrak{n})$. It is then natural to consider the restriction of $\mathrm{Evol}_N\colon\ R(I,\mathfrak{n})\to AC_R(I,N)$ to $R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ as candidate for Evol_{N^∞}.

Let $W\subseteq V_1$ be an open 0-neighbourhood in $\mathfrak{n}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Evol}_N(R(I,W))\subseteq AC_R(I,\exp_N(V_1)).$$

Let $\widetilde{U}_G\subseteq U_G$ and $W_1\subseteq W$ be identity neighbourhoods such that

$$\dot\pi(\widetilde{U}_G)W_1\subseteq W$$

and set

$$W^\infty:=W\cap\mathfrak{n}^\infty\subseteq V_1^\infty\quad\text{and}\quad W_1^\infty:=W_1\cap\mathfrak{n}^\infty\subseteq W_\infty.$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Evol}_N\colon\ R(I,\mathfrak{n})\to AC_R(I,N)$ is smooth (see Lemma 2.6). Consider the smooth map

$$\eta\colon\ \mathcal{R}(I,W)\to AC_R(I,V_1),\ \alpha\mapsto\exp_V^{-1}\circ\mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha).$$

Note that the corresponding map

$$\mathcal{R}(I,W)\to C(I,V_1),\ \alpha\mapsto\eta(\alpha)$$

is also smooth (cf. §2.8). Moreover, Lemma 2.7 yields that

$$\dot\pi(g)\circ\eta(\alpha)=\eta(\dot\pi(g)\circ\alpha)\quad\text{for all } g\in\widetilde{U}_G \text{ and } \alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1). \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.9. *The map $U_G\times R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)\to R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty),(g,\alpha)\mapsto\dot\pi(g)\circ\alpha$ is smooth.*

Proof. We deduce from Lemma 2.3 applied to the smooth action map

$$f\colon\ V_G\times\mathfrak{n}^\infty\to\mathfrak{n}^\infty,\ (x,v)\mapsto\dot\pi(\exp_G(x))v$$

that the map

$$\widetilde{f}\colon\ V_G\times R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)\to R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty),\ (x,\alpha)\mapsto\dot\pi(\exp_G(x))\circ\alpha$$

is smooth. Since $V_G\to U_G, x\mapsto\exp_G(x)$ is a diffeomorphism, the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 5.10. *The following assertions hold:*

1. *For all $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$, the map $\widetilde{U}_G\to C(I,\mathfrak{n}),g\mapsto\dot\pi(g)\circ\eta(\alpha)$ is smooth.*
2. *$\eta(\alpha)(t)\in\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ for all $t\in I$ and $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$.*
3. *For all $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$, the map $\eta_\alpha\colon\ I\to\mathfrak{n}^\infty,t\mapsto\eta(\alpha)(t)$ is in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$.*
4. *The map $\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)\to\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty),\alpha\mapsto\eta(\alpha)$ is smooth.*
5. *For all $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$, the map η_α is continuous.*

Proof. (1): Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$. Since the inclusion map $\mathcal{R}(I,W^\infty)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{R}(I,W)$ is smooth by Lemma 2.2, the map $\widetilde{U}_G\to\mathcal{R}(I,W),g\mapsto\dot\pi(g)\circ\alpha$ is also smooth by Lemma 5.9. The claim then follows from (5.2) and the smoothness of the map $\mathcal{R}(I,W)\to C(I,\mathfrak{n}),\alpha\mapsto\eta(\alpha)$.

(2): Let $t\in I$ and $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$. We have to show that the map $\widetilde{U}_G\to\mathfrak{n},g\mapsto\dot\pi(g)\eta(\alpha)(t)$ is smooth. But this follows from (1) and the smoothness of the evaluation map $C(I,\mathfrak{n})\to\mathfrak{n},\beta\mapsto\beta(t)$.

(3): Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{R}(I,W_1^\infty)$. By definition, $\eta_\alpha\in\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ if $\sup_{t\in I}p_k(\eta_\alpha(t))<\infty$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Fix $k\in\mathbb{N}$. By (1), the map $\widetilde{U}_G\to C(I,\mathfrak{n}),g\mapsto\dot\pi^{\eta_\alpha(\cdot)}(g)$ is smooth. Thus the multilinear map

$$m_k\colon\ \mathfrak{g}^k\to C(I,\mathfrak{n}),\ (x_1,\dots,x_k)\mapsto d^k(\dot\pi^{\eta_\alpha(\cdot)})(1_G;x_1,\dots,x_k)$$

is continuous, hence bounded. It then follows from (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\in I}p_k(\eta_\alpha(t))&=\sup\{\|d^k(\dot\pi^{\eta_\alpha(t)})(1_G;x_1,\dots,x_k)\|\ :\ t\in I,\ \|x_1\|,\dots,\|x_k\|\le1\}\\&=\sup\{\|m_k(x_1,\dots,x_k)\|_{C(I,\mathfrak{n})}\ :\ \|x_1\|,\dots,\|x_k\|\le1\}<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(4): The map

$$f\colon \widetilde{U}_G \times \mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty) \to \mathcal{L}^\infty(I, \mathfrak{n}),\ (g, \alpha) \mapsto \dot{\pi}^{\eta_\alpha(\cdot)}(g) = \eta(\dot{\pi}(g) \circ \alpha)$$

is smooth since it is the composition of the smooth maps $\widetilde{U}_G \times \mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty) \to \mathcal{R}(I, W_\infty), (g, \alpha) \mapsto \dot{\pi}(g) \circ \alpha$ (see Lemma 5.9) and $\mathcal{R}(I, W_\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I, W) \to C(I, \mathfrak{n}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(I, \mathfrak{n}), \alpha \mapsto \eta(\alpha)$. Hence (4) follows from Lemma 5.2.

(5): Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty)$, and let us show that η_α is continuous. This is clear if α is a constant function, that is, if $\alpha = c_v\colon I \to W_1^\infty, t \mapsto v$ for some $v \in W_1^\infty$, since then $\mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha)(t) = \exp_N(tv)$, and hence $\eta_\alpha(t) = tv$ for all $t \in I$. Assume next that α is a step function, say $\alpha|_{]t_{j-1}, t_j[} = c_{v_j}|_{]t_{j-1}, t_j[}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$ is a subdivision of I and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in W_1^\infty$. Then for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, we have

$$\eta_\alpha(t) = t_1 v_1 * (t_2 - t_1)v_2 * \cdots * (t_{j-1} - t_{j-2})v_{j-1} * (t - t_{j-1})v_j,$$

so that the continuity of η_α follows from the continuity of the local multiplication in $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ (Lemma 5.4).

Let now $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of step functions in $\mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty)$ converging to α. Let p be a continuous seminorm on $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By (4), there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{s\in I} p(\eta_\alpha(s) - \eta_{\alpha_N}(s)) \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

The above discussion also yields some $\delta > 0$ such that $p(\eta_{\alpha_N}(t) - \eta_{\alpha_N}(t_0)) \leq \varepsilon/3$ whenever $|t - t_0| < \delta$. Thus for $t \in I$ with $|t - t_0| < \delta$, we have

$$p(\eta_\alpha(t) - \eta_\alpha(t_0)) \leq p(\eta_\alpha(t) - \eta_{\alpha_N}(t)) + p(\eta_{\alpha_N}(t) - \eta_{\alpha_N}(t_0)) + p(\eta_{\alpha_N}(t_0) - \eta_\alpha(t_0)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence η_α is continuous, concluding the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.11. *For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty)$, the map $\eta_\alpha\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty, t \mapsto \eta(\alpha)(t)$ is in $AC_R(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$. Moreover, $R(I, W_1^\infty) \to AC_R(I, N^\infty), \alpha \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha)$ is a (local) evolution map for N^∞.*

Proof. Recall from §2.5 that the map

$$f\colon V_1^\infty \times \mathfrak{n}^\infty \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty,\ (x, v) \mapsto \exp_N(x).v = T_1(\lambda_{\exp_N(x)})v$$

is continuous (here we identify each fiber $T_n N^\infty$ of TN^∞ for $n \in \exp_N(V_1^\infty)$ with the space $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$, using the chart $(\exp_N(V_1^\infty), \exp_V^{-1})$). Since $\eta_\alpha\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is continous by Lemma 5.10(5) and $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$, we deduce from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\gamma := f \circ (\eta_\alpha, \alpha)\colon I \to \mathfrak{n}^\infty,\ s \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha)(s).\alpha(s)$$

is in $\mathcal{R}(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$. Since $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ is a Fréchet space, Lemma 2.1 then implies that the weak integrals $\widetilde{\eta}_\alpha(t) := \int_0^t \gamma(s)ds$ exist in $\mathfrak{n}^\infty$ for all $t \in I$ and that $\widetilde{\eta}_\alpha \in AC_R(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$. On the other hand, by definition of Evol_N (cf. §2.10), we have

$$\eta_\alpha(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s)ds$$

for all $t \in I$, where the above weak integrals are considered in $\mathfrak{n}$ (c.f. §2.2). By unicity of the weak integral in $\mathfrak{n}$, we conclude that $\eta_\alpha = \widetilde{\eta}_\alpha \in AC_R(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty)$, as desired.

Finally, since $\exp_N \circ \eta(\alpha) = \mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(I, W_1^\infty)$, the above discussion also implies that $\mathrm{Evol}_N|_{R(I,W_1^\infty)}\colon R(I, W_1^\infty) \to AC_R(I, N^\infty)$ is a (local) evolution map for N^∞. □

Theorem 5.12. *The Fréchet–Lie group N^∞ is R-regular, with smooth evolution map*

$$\mathrm{Evol}_{N^\infty}\colon R(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty) \to AC_R(I, N^\infty),\ \alpha \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}_N(\alpha).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.11, the Fréchet–Lie group N^∞ is locally R-semiregular (with local evolution map $\mathrm{Evol}_N|_{R(I,W_1^\infty)}$). It then follows from Lemma 2.5(1) that N^∞ is R-semiregular. Moreover, the unicity of the evolution map for N implies that $\mathrm{Evol}_{N^\infty} := \mathrm{Evol}_N|_{R(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)}$ is the (global) evolution map for N^∞. It thus remains to prove that Evol_{N^∞} is smooth. By Lemma 2.5(2), it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{Evol}_{N^\infty}|_{R(I,W_1^\infty)}$ is smooth or, equivalently, that the map $R(I, W_1^\infty) \to AC_R(I, \mathfrak{n}^\infty), \alpha \mapsto \eta(\alpha)$ is smooth. By Lemma 2.4, this is in turn equivalent to the smoothness of the map $R(I, W_1^\infty) \to$

$C(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty), \alpha \mapsto \eta(\alpha)$. But this can be established exactly as in the proof of Lemma 5.10(4), replacing $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ by $C(I,\mathfrak{n}^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{L}^\infty(I,\mathfrak{n})$ by $C(I,\mathfrak{n})$. □

Corollary 5.13. *The Fréchet–Lie group $H^\infty = N^\infty \rtimes_{\pi^\infty} G$ is R-regular, with a smooth evolution map. In particular, H^∞ has the strong Trotter and commutator properties.*

Proof. The R-regularity of H^∞ follows from Theorem 5.12, together with the fact that R-regularity is an extension property (see [Glö15, Theorem G]). The second statement then follows from [Glö15, Theorem I]. □

References

[AK98] Vladimir I. Arnold and Boris A. Khesin, *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[BR87] Ola Bratteli and Derek W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 1*, second ed., Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[EM99] David G. Ebin and Gerard Misiołek, *The exponential map on D^s_μ*, The Arnoldfest (Toronto, ON, 1997), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 153–163.

[Glö07] Helge Glöckner, *Aspects of differential calculus related to infinite-dimensional vector bundles and Poisson vector spaces*, Preprint (2007).

[Glö15] ———, *Measurable regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Preprint (2015), http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.02568.

[GN] Helge Glöckner and Karl-Hermann Neeb, *Infinite dimensional Lie groups*, book in preparation.

[MOKY85] Yoshiaki Maeda, Hideki Omori, Osamu Kobayashi, and Akira Yoshioka, *On regular Fréchet-Lie groups. VIII. Primordial operators and Fourier integral operators*, Tokyo J. Math. **8** (1985), no. 1, 1–47.

[Nee06] Karl-Hermann Neeb, *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*, Jpn. J. Math. **1** (2006), no. 2, 291–468.

[Nee10] Karl-Hermann Neeb, *On differentiable vectors for representations of infinite dimensional lie groups*, Journal of Functional Analysis **259** (2010), no. 11, 2814 – 2855.

[NS13] Karl-Hermann Neeb and Hadi Salmasian, *Differentiable vectors and unitary representations of Fréchet-Lie supergroups*, Math. Z. **275** (2013), no. 1-2, 419–451.

[Omo97] Hideki Omori, *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 158, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nuernberg, Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
E-mail address: marquis@math.fau.de

Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nuernberg, Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
E-mail address: neeb@math.fau.de